Reg. No. [illegible]



KERALA GAZETTE

SUPPLEMENTS

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. XXVII] Trivandrum, Tuesday, 26th October 1982 / 4th Karthika 1904 (Saka) [No. 42

PART I

CONTENTS

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.

PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

CIVIL SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT—PROVISIONAL SENIORITY LIST OF OFFICERS OF AND ABOVE THE LEVEL OF DISTRICT SUPPLIES OFFICERS IN THE KERALA CIVIL SUPPLIES SERVICE AS ON 1-1-1980, 1-1-1981 AND 1-1-1982—LIST PUBLISHED.

FOOD (A) DEPARTMENT

G. O. (Ms) No. 12/82/Food. *Dated Trivandrum, 15th May* 1982.

Read :—(1) G. O. Ms. No. 53/80/Food dated 7-11-1980

(2) G. O. Ms. 45/81/Food dated 15-11-1981.

ORDER

Government approve the appended provisional seniority list of officers of and above the rank of District Supply Officers in the Kerala State Civil Supplies Service as on 1-1-1980, 1-1-1981 and 1-1-1982.

2. * * * * * *

3. The Director of Civil Supplies is requested to circulate the lists among the officers concerned inviting appeals, if any, from aggrieved persons to be presented within a period of one month from the date of publication of the list in the Gazette. He will forward such appeals to Government with his specific remarks in each case as expeditiously as possible.

By order of the Governor,

G. SOMANATHAN,

Addl. Secretary to Government.

PROVISIONAL SENIORITY LIST OF OFFICERS OF THE

As on

Sl. No.	*Name*	*Date of birth*	*Qualifications*	
			General	*Special*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
	Controller of Rationing (1)			
1	S. Sreenivasan	10-3-1927/ 25-7-1102 M.E.	B.A.	Acct. Rev. Crl. Judl. Higher Survey
	District Supply Officers/Assistant Secretaries (18)			
1	K. N. Balakrishna Menon	6-11-1925/ 21-3-1101 M.E.	B.A.	HOM. P.T., Acct. Rev. Coop. Test Food Test
2	M. P. Balachandran	17-4-1926	S.S.L.C.	Higher Exam. in Shorthand & Typewriting
3	P. O. Thomas	14-7-1926	B.A.	Acct. Rev Cri. Judl. Test Higher Survey
4	P. K. Kunhikrishnan Nambiar	5-12-1926	S.S.L.C.	Rev. Test Acct. Test Crl. Judl. Test Higher Survey
5	P. N. Purushothaman	18-3-1928	E.S.L.C.	S.M.A/C. Test (L.&H) MOP
6	O. Abdul Azeez	1-7-1925	S.S.L.C.	Rev. Test A/C. Test (L) DOM, Rev. Test Part I&II
7	C. D. Kalavathi Bai	10-11-1925	S S.L.C.	HOM A/C Test Rev. Test
8	N. Sainuddin	1-8-1927	S.S.L.C.	Rev. Test Acct. Test. Cri. Judl. Test Higher Survey
9	K. P. Ramakrishna Poduval	1-8-1928	S.S.L.C.	do.
10	K. U. Sivasankaran Nair	2-7-1930	S.S.L.C.	do.

KERALA CIVIL SUPPLIES SERVICE

1-1-1980

Date of entry into Government Service	*Date of appointment to the Taluk Supply Officer Grade*	*Date of Promotion to the present grade (Actual date of joining duty)*	*Remarks*
(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
..	4-12-1961	11-6-1979	..
24-8-1119 M.E.	16-9-1964	25-7-1973 19-2-1974	..
..	10-1-1962	2-11-1974	..
..	18-6-1962	24-5-1975	..
..	15-3-1963	11-4-1977	..
12-7-1122 M.E.	3-8-1965	3-8-1977	..
17-11-1943	2-2-1967	11-10-1976 10-7-1978	..
4-9-1120 M.E.	26-7-1966	11-11-1975 18-5-1978	.
15-12-1943	1-9-1966	29-6-1978	..
..	18-8-1969	21-10-1978	..
9-9-1949	10-1-1970	7-12-1978	..

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
11	V. P. Covindankutty Nair	15-3-1926	S.S.L.C.	Rev. Test, Acct. Test, Crl. Judl. Test, Higher Survey
12	C. Narayana Iyer	16-4-1927	S.S.L.C.	do.
13	P. K. Krishna Pillai	23-8-1926	B. Com.	S.M. Acct. Test, Rev. Test, M. G. T. E. (Survey and Levelling)
14	P. K. Sainudeen	25-10-1925/ 9-3-1101 M.E.	E.S.L.C.	Rev. Test Acct. Test Cri. Judl. Test Higher Survey
15	K. Asokan Pillai	6-6-1935	Inter-mediate	S.M. Acct. Test (L), Rev. Test Typewriting (L), Minority Lang. Test in Tamil
16	P. Rengaswami Achari	13-8-1935	B.A.	S.M. Acct Test (H), Rev. Test
17	M. Ceevarghese	16-5-1934	S.S.L.C.	S.M. Acct. Test (L), Rev. Test
18	K. S. Venugopal	10-11-1111 M.E.	B.Sc.	S.M. Acct. Test (L), Rev. Test As on
Controller of Rationing (1)				
1	S. Sreenivasan	10-3-1927/ 25-7-1102 M.E.	B A.	Acct. Rev.. Crl. Judl. Test, Higher Survey
District Supply Officers/Assistant Secretaries (22)				
1	M. P. Balachandran	17-4-1926	S.S.L.C.	Higher Exam. in Shorthand & Typewriting
2	P. O. Thomas	14-7-1926	B.A.	Acct. Rev. Crl. Judl. Test Higher Survey
3	P. K. Kunhikrishnan Nambiar	5-12-1926	S.S.L.C.	Rev. Test, Acct. Test, Crl. Judl. Test, Higher Survey

(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
13-1-1944	19-3-1970	18-6-1979	..
12-10-1946	2-3-1970	9-2-1979	On deputation to the KSCSC.
13-5-1953	16-9-1964	17-1-1977	..
..	27-4-1970	18-6-1979	..
7-11-1957	20-10-1970	31-8-1979	..
7-1-1957	12-6-1970	30-8-1979	..
20-8-1957	24-6-1970	30-8-1979	..
24-10-1957	24-6-1970	1-9-1979	..
1-1-1981			
—	4-12-1961	11-6-1979	..
..	10-1-1962	2-11-1974	
..	18-6-1962	24-5-1975	..
..	15-3-1963	11-4-1977	On deputation to the KSCSC.

(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)
4	P. N. Purushothaman	18-3-1928	E.S.L.C.	S.M. Acct. Test (L&H) MOP Rev. Test
5	N. Sainudeen	1-8-1927	S.S L.C.	Rev. Test Acct. Test Crl. Judl. Test Higher Survey
6	K. P. Ramakrishna Poduval	1-8-1928	S.S.L.C.	do.
7	K. U. Sivasankaran Nair	2-7-1930	S.S.L.C.	do.
8	V. P. Govindankutty Nair	15-3-1926	S.S.L.C.	Rev. Test Acct. Test. Crl. Judl Test Higher Survey
9	G. Narayana Iyer	16-4-1927	S.S.L.C.	do.
10	P. K Krishna Pillai	23-8-1926	B. Com.	S.M. Acct. Test Rev. Test MGTE (Survey & Levelling)
11	P. Rengaswami Achari	13-8-1935	B.A.	S.M. Acct. Test (H) Rev. Test
12	M. Geevarghese	16-5-1934	S.S.L.C.	S.M. Acct. Test (L) Rev. Test
13	K. S. Venugopal	10-11-1111 M.E.	B.Sc.	do.
14	M. Sukumaran	14-10-1103 M.E.	B.A.B.L.	S.M. Acct. Test (H) Survey
15	K. Asokan Pillai	6-6-1935	Inter.	S.M. Acct. Test (L) Rev. Test Type-writing (L) Miniority Lang. test in Tamil
16	P. R. Gopinathan Nair	18-7-1107 M.E.	Inter-mediate (Ajmir)	S.M. Acct. test(L) Rev. Test Typewriting (H)
17	K. Joseph Koshy	9-5-1934	S.S.L.C.	Acct. Test Shorthand & Typewriting

(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
12-7-1122 M.E.	3-8-1965	3-8-1977	..
15-12-1943	1-9-1966	29-6-1978	..
...	18-8-1969	21-10-1978	..
9-9-1949	16-1-1970	7-12-1978	..
13-1-1944	19-3-1970	18-6-1979	..
12-10-1946	2-3-1970	9-2-1979	..
13-5-1953	16-9-1964	17-1-1977	On deputation to the KSCSC.
7-1-1957	12-6-1970	30-8-1979	
20-8-1957	24-6-1970	31-8-1979	
24-10-1957	24-6-1970	1-9-1979	On deputation to the KSCSC.
–	2-7-1970	2-4-1980	
7-11-1957	20-10-1970	31-3-1979	..
27-10-1955	12-6-1970	7-10-1980	
28-6-1956	16-7-1971	13-10-1980	..

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
18	P. Kenil Stephen	4-6-1935	E.S.L.C.	DOM Acct, Test (L) Crl. Judl. Paper I
19	N. Thulaseedharan Nair	24-8-1108 M.E.	B.A.	DOM Acct. Test (L) Crl. Judl. Test
20	P. K. Copalan	19-3-1929	E.S.L.C.	DOM Acct Test (L)
21	R. Narayanan Nair	1-2-1108 M.E.	E.S.L.C. Rashtra-Basha	S.M. Acct. Test (L)
22	P. K Chellappan Pillai	8-5-1107 M.E.	B. A.	DOM S. M. Acct. Test Rev. Test.
				As on
Controller of Rationing (1)				
1	S. Sreenivasan	10-3-1927/ 25-7-1102 M.E.	B.A.	Acct. Rev. Crl. Judl. Test Higher Survey
Deputy Controllers of Rationing (2)				
1	P. K. Kunhikrishnan Nambiar	5-12-1926	S.S.L.C.	Rev. Test Acct. test Crl. Judl. Test Higher Survey
2	P. N. Purushothaman	18-3-1928	E.S.L.C.	S. M. Acct. Test (L&H) MOP. Rev. Test
District Supply Officers/Assistant Secretaries (23)				
1	N. Sainudeen	1-8-1927	S.S.L.C.	Rev. Test. Acct. Test Crl. Judl. Test Higher Survey
2	K. P. Ramakrishna Poduval	1-8-1928	S.S.L.C.	do.
3	K. U. Sivasankaran Nair	2-7-1930	S.S.L.C.	do.
4	G. Narayana Iyer	16-4-1927	S S.L.C.	do.
5	P. Rengaswami Achari	13-8-1935	B.A.	S. M. Acct. Test (H) Rev. Test

(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
19-8-1957	15-10-1970	3-10-1980	..
5-12-1957	20-10-1970	3-12-1980	..
19-2-1951	8-12-1970	6-12-1980	..
25-4-1956	16-7-1971	4-12-1980	..
20-7-1957	21-7-1971	5-12-1980	
1-1-1982			
..	4-12-1961	11-6-1979	..
..	15-3-1963	10-11-1981	Retired on 31-12-1981
12-7-1122 M.E.	3-8-1965	5-11-1981	..
15-12-1943	1-9-1966	29-6-1978	..
..	18-8-1969	21-10-1978	..
9-9-1944	16-1-1970	7-12-1978	On deputation to the KSCSC.
12-10-1946	2-3-1970	9-2-1979	..
7-1-1957	12-6-1970	30-8-1979	..

(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)
6	M. Geevarghese	16-5-1934	S.S.L.C.	S. M. Acct. Test (L) Rev. Test
7	K. S. Venugopal	10-11-1111 M.E.	B.Sc.	do.
8	M. Sukumaran	14-10-1103 M.E.	B.A.B.L.	S. M. Acct. Test (H) Survey
9	K. Asokan Pillai	6-6-1935	Inter.	S.M. Acct. Test (L) Rev. Test Typewriting (L) Minority. Lang. test in Tamil
10	P. R. Gopinathan Nair	18-7-1107 M.E.	Intermediate (Ajmir)	S. M. Acct. Test (L) Rev. Test Typewriting (H)
11	K. Joseph Koshy	9-5-1934	S.S.L.C.	Acct. Test Shorthand & Typewriting
12	P. Kenil Stephen	4-6-1935	E.S.L.C.	DOM. Acct. Test (L) Crl. Judl. Paper I
13	N. Thulaseedharan Nair	24-8-1108 M.E.	B.A.	DOM. Acct. Test (L) Crl. Judl. Test
14	P. K. Gopalan	19-3-1929	E. S.L.C.	DOM. Acct. Test (L)
15	R. Narayanan Nair	1-2-1108	E.S.L.C. Rashtra Basha	S. M. Acct. Test (L)
16	P. K. Chellappan Pillai	8-5-1107 M.E.	B.A.	DOM. S. M. Acct. Test Rev. Test
17	E. Philomina	18-1-1113 M.E.	S.S.L.C.	DOM. Acct. Test (L)
18	K. A. Parameswaran	17-4-1932	E.S.L.C.	S. M. Acct. Test (L) Rev. Test, Typewriting (L) Minority Lang. Test in Tamil

(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
20-8-1957	24-6-1970	31-8-1979	..
24-10-1957	24-6-1970	1-9-1979	..
..	2-7-1970	2-4-1980	..
7-11-1957	20-10-1970	31-8-1979	..
27-10-1955	12-6-1970	7-10-1980	..
28-6-1956	16-7-1971	13-10-1980	..
19-8-1957	15-10-1970	3-10-1980	..
5-12-1957	20-10-1970	3-12-1980	..
19-2-1951	8-12-1970	6-12-1980	..
25-4-1956	16-7-1971	4-12-1980	..
20-7-1957	21-7-1971	5-12-1980	..
4-12-1957	21-7-1971	31-1-1981	..
26-8-1957	20-10-1970	25-6-1981	..

(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)
19	K. Kerala Varma Raja	17-9-1108 M.E.	B.A.	D OM. Acct. Test (L) Rev. Test
20	K. Appukuttan Nair	27-12-1933	B.A.	DOM. Acct. Test (L&H) Rev. Test
21	P. A. Sanathanan	9-12-1927	B.A.	do.
22	T. S. Radhakrishnan Pillai	23-3-1935	B.A.B.L.	S.M. Acct. Test. Rev. Test, Higher Survey
23	C. M. Shamsudeen	10-9-1102 M.E.	S.S.L.C.	Acct. Test. Rev. Test. Crl. Test. Higher Survey

(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
9-12-1957	17-2-1972	22-6-1981	..
5-2-1958	11-4-1972	31-8-1981	..
..	12-4-1972	11-11-1981	On deputation to the KSCSC.
..	13-6-1972	13-1-1982	..
..	6-7-1972	13-1-1982	On deputation to the KSCSC.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.)No. 817/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30th July 1982.*

The award of the Industrial Tribunal, Quilon in respect of the dispute between (1) The Technical Manager, Keltron Entertainment Systems Ltd., Thycaud P. O., Trivandrum (2) The Accounts Officer, Keltron Entertainment System Ltd., Thycaud P. O., Trivandrum and (3) The Personal Advisor, Keltron Entertainment Systems Ltd., Thycaud, Trivandrum and the workmen of the above employer represented by (1) The Secretary, Keltron Employees Union, Reg. No. 105/76, Trivandrum and (2) The Secretary, Keltron Employees Association, Reg. No. 27/76, Peroorkada, Trivandrum-695005 received by Government on 26-7-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor
K. SIVADASAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Industrial Tribunal, Quilon

(Dated this the day of 19th July 1982)

Present:

Sri C. N. SASIDHARAN, B.SC. B. L.,

Industrial Tribunal

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 21/82 (OLD NO. 4/79 OF I.T. ALLEPPEY)

Between:

(1) The Technical Manager, Keltron Entertainment Systems Ltd., Thycaud P. O., Trivandrum.
(2) The Accounts Officer, Keltron Entertainment System Ltd., Thycaud, P. O., Trivandrum.
(3) The Personal Advisor, Keltron Entertainment Systems Ltd., Thycaud, Trivandrum.

} .. *Management*

And

The Workmen of the above employer represented by

(1) The Secretary, Keltron Employees Union, Reg. No. 105/76, Trivandrum.
(2) The Secretary, Keltron Employees Association, Reg. No. 27/76, Peroorkada, Trivandrum-69005.
} *Workmen*

Representations:—

Sri N. Krishnan Kutty, Advocate, Thakaraparambu, Fort P. O., Trivandrum. .. For the *Management.*

Sri S. Prakash, Advocate, Law Centre, Vanchiyoor, Trivandrum-1 .. For the *Union No. 1*

Sri S. Gopakumaran Nair, Advocate, Vanchiyoor, Trivandrum-24 . For the *Union No. 2*

AWARD

This reference was initially made by Government as per G. O. Rt. No. 105/79/L&H dated 17-1-1979 to the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey were it was taken on file as Industrial Dispute No. 3/79. Subsequently when this Tribunal was constituted, the case was transferred to this Tribunal as per orders of the Government and renumbered as Industrial Dispute No. 21/82.

The issue referred for adjudication is "Quantum of bonus eligible to the employees of Kerala State Electronic Development Corporation Ltd., for the year 1977-78"

Both sides appeared and filed their respective statements. On 9-6-1982 when the case came up for trial at Trivandrum both the management and the unions submitted that the dispute had been settled as per the settlement dated 5-9-1981 and the case was adjourned to 14-7-1982 for filing memorandum of settlement. On that day the memorandum of settlement duly signed by both parties was filed.

In view of the settlement I find that there is no dispute exists between the parties for adjudication. Hence I pass this award as prayed for, in terms of the settlement which is shown as an annexure.

Quilon,
19-7-1982.

C. N. SASIDHARAN,
Industrial Tribunal,

ANNEXURE

Keltron Entertainment Systems Limited
Memorandum of Settlement

As per provisions of Industrial Dispure Act between the management of Keltron Entertainment Systems Limited and its workmen represented by their trade unions.

NAME OF PARTIES

Representing Employer

1.	Sri. K. P. P. Nambiar	Chairman
2.	Sri. P. G. Nair	Personnel Advisor.

Representing workmen

1.	Sri. K. Mukundan	Keltron Employees Association
2.	Sri. K. V. Johny	Keltron Employees Association
3.	Sri. V. J Joseph	Keltron Employees Union
4.	Sri V. G. Nair	Keltron Employees Union
5.	Sri. C. Natesan	Keltron Employees Union

Short Recital of the Case

After series of discussion with the representatives of the trade unions on the bonus issue of Keltron Entertainment System Limited for the accounting year 1980-81, the following settlement has been arrived at:

Terms of Settlement

1. As the annual accounts are not ready for the accounting year 1980-81, it is agreed to pay to all confirmed and probationary employees an amount equivalent to the salary for the month of March 1981 as advance bonus on prorata basis of salary earnings for the year 1980-81 pending final determination of the quantum of bonus on the finalisation of the accounts for 1980-81 between the parties hereto.

2. The parties to this agreement have resolved their dispute on the quantum of bonus eligible to the employees Keltron Entertainment System Limited for the year 1977-78 and agreed to approach the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey to award 'No Dispute Award' in respect of Industrial Disbute No. 4/1979 referred under section 10 (1) D of the Industrial Dispute Act for adjudication.

Representing Management		*Representing workmen*	
1.	(Sd.)	1.	(Sd.)
2.	(Sd.)	2.	(Sd.)
		3.	(Sd.)
		4.	(Sd.)
		5.	(Sd.)

Dated 5th September 1981.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 819/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30th July* 1982.

The award of the Industrial Tribunal, Quilon in respect of the dispute between (1) The General Manager, Keltron Projectors Ltd., Peroorkada, Trivandrum-695005 and (2) Sri. G. V. Nair, Project Engineer, Keltron Projectors Ltd., Peroorkada, Trivandrum and the workmen of the above employer represented by (1) The Secretary, Keltron Employees Union, Reg. No. 105/76, Trivandrum and (2) The Secretary, Keltron Employees Association Reg. No. 25/76, Peroorkada, Trivandrum-695005 received by Government on 26-7-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. SIVADASAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Industrial Tribunal, Quilon

Dated, this the 19th day of July, 1982

Present:

SRI. G. N. SASIDHARAN, B. SC., B. L.,
Industrial Tribunal

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 22/1982
(OLD No. 5/79 of I. T. ALLEPPEY)

Between:

(1) The General Manager,
Keltron Projectors Ltd.,
Peroorkada, Trivandrum-695005.

(2) Sri. G. V. Nair,
Project Engineer,
Keltron Projectors Ltd.,
Peroorkada, Trivandrum.

} *Management*

And

The Workmen of the above employer represented by

(1) The Secretary,
Keltron Employees Union,
Reg. No. 105/76, Trivandrum.

(2) The Secretary,
Keltron Employees Association,
Reg. No. 25/76 Peroorkada,
Trivandrum-695005.

} *Workmen*

Representations :—

Sri. N. Krishnan Kutty, Advocate, Thakaraparambu, Fort P. O., Trivandrum.	.. For *the Management*.
Sri. S. Gopakumaran Nair, Advocate, Vanchiyoor, Trivandrum.	.. For *the Union No*. 1.
Sri. S. Prakash, Advocate, Law Centre, Vanchiyoor, Trivandrum-1.	.. For *the Union No*. 2.

AWARD

This reference was initially made by Government as per G. O. Rt. No. 103/79/L & H dated, 17-1-1979 to the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey were it was taken on file as Industrial Dispute No. 3/79. Subsequently when this Tribunal was constituted, the case was transferred to this Tribunal as per orders the Government and re-numbered as Industrial Dispute 22/1982.

The issue referred for adjudication is "Quantum of bonus eligible to the employees of Kerala State Electronic Development Corporation Ltd., for the year 1977-'87."

Both sides appeared and filed their respective statements. On 9-6-1982 when the case came up for trial at Trivandrum both the management and unions submitted that the dispute has been settled as per the settlement dated 5-9-1981 and the case was adjourned to 14-7-1982 for filing memorandum of settlement. On that day the memorandum of settlement duly signed by both parties was filed.

In view of the settlement I find that there is no dispute exists between the parties for adjudication. Hence I pass this award as prayed for, in terms of the settlement which is shown as an annexure.

Quilon,
19-7-1982.

C. N. SASIDHARAN,
Industrial Tribunal.

ANNEXURE

Keltron Projectors Limited

Memorandum of Settlement

As per provisions of Industrial Dispute Act between the management of Keltron Projectors Limited and its workmen represented by their union.

NAME OF PARTIES :

Representing Management :

1. Sri. K. P. P. Nambiar	..	Chairman
2. Sri. P. G. Nair	..	Personal Adviser

Representing Workmen :

1.	Sri K. Mukundan	..	Keltron Employees Association
2.	Sri K. V. Johny	..	Keltron Employees Union
3.	Sri V. J. Joseph	..	Keltron Employees Union
4.	Sri V. G. Nair	..	Keltron Employees Union
5.	Sri G. Bendict	..	Keltron Employees Union

Short Recital of the Case

After a series of discussion with the representatives of trade unions on the bonus issue of Keltron Projectors Limited for the accounting year 1980-81, the following settlement has been arrived at :

1. (a) Employees who are eligible for bonus as per the Payment of Bonus Act, will be paid one months average salary for 12 months period for the accounting year 1980-81 subject to a maximum of Rs. 750. For the computation of bonus amount only, full salary for the month of March 1981 will be taken into account in respect of all employees.

(b) Those employees who have put in less than 12 months regular service will be paid bonus proportionately.

2. (a) The Management further agrees to pay Rs. 100 to all those employees who are eligible for bonus and now on the rolls, as ex-gratia payment.

(b) Casual employees who have worked upto 30 days will not be entitled for any ex-gratia payment whence they are not entitled for bonus and others will get as follows :

(i)	Those who have worked 30 days and more, but upto 49 days	Rs. 25
(ii)	and more 50 days to 99 days	Rs. 50
(iii)	and more 100 days to 199 days	Rs. 75
(iv)	and more 200 days and above	Rs. 100

3. The parties to this agreement have resolved their dispute on the quantum of bonus eligible to the employees of Keltron Projectors Limited for the year 1977-78 and agreed to approach the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey to award 'NO DISPUTE AWARD' in respect of Industrial Dispute No. 5/1979 referred under Section 10(1) D of the Industrial Dispute Act for adjudication.

Representing Management :

1.	Sri K. P. P. Nambiar	..	Chairman
2.	Sri P. G. Nair	..	Personal Adviser

Representing Workmen :

1.	Sri K. Mukundan	..	(Sd.)
2.	Sri K. V. Johny	..	(Sd.)
3.	Sri V. J. Joseph	..	(Sd.)
4.	Sri V. G. Nair	..	(Sd.)
5.	Sri G. Benedict	..	(Sd.)

Dated : 5th September 1981.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No. 950/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 6th September 1982.*

The award of the Labour Court, Quilon in respect of the dispute between Sri K. P. Sachithanandan, Kaithapuzha Kochuthundil, Arinalloor P.O. and his workman Sri P. Ramakrishnan, Plavilacharuvil, Puthen Veedu, Arinalloor P. O. received by Government on 16-8-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. Sivadasan,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Quilon

Friday, the 30th July, 1982

Present:

Shri T. V. KUNHAHAMED, B.A., B.L.

PRESIDING OFFICER

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 136/77

Between

Sri K.P. Sachithanandan, Kaithapuzha Kochuthundil, Arinalloor P.O.

And

Sri P. Ramakrishnan, Plavilacharuvil, Puthen Veedu, Arinalloor P.O.

Representations:—

Sri S. John.
Advocate,
Quilon. ... For *the Employer*

Sri R. Rajendran,
Advocate,
Quilon. ... For *the Workman*

AWARD

Denial of employment to Sri P. Ramakrishnan, Fibre Cleaner is the dispute referred for adjudication by the Kerala Government as per G.O. (Rt.) No. 1624/77/LBR dated 2)-12-1977.

2. Sri Ramakrishnan, who shall hereinafter be referred to as the "Workman" entered appearance and filed a claim statement raising the following contentions:—The workman was employed as a fibre cleaner in the coir spinning establishment own d by Sri K. P. Sachithanandan, who shall herein after be referred to as the 'Employer'. The workman has been working as fibre cleaner ever since 1970. His work was to clean the raw-fibre brought to the mill for use in the coir spinning mill run by the employer as well as in other establishments. The workman was employed for a period of 7 years and his monthly wages was Rs.225. While so he was refused work on 3rd December 1976. On 3rd December, 1976 the employer did not allow the workman to enter the premises. This was done beacuse of a personal grudge arising out of the construction of a hut in the puramboke land adjacent to the land owned by the employer. The workman had put up a hut in the puramboke land. On 16-12-1976, the workman lodged a complaint before the Assistant Labour Officer, Karunagap ally. Conciliation conferences were held, but without any result. On 14th March, 1977, the workman filed another application before the District Labour Officer, Quilon. The attempts made by that authority also did not fructify. The workman wants himself to be reinstated with all back wages.

3. The employer filed a written statement contending that the workman was never employed by him, that there is no relationship of employer-employee and that he had placed the true facts before the Labour Officers concerned.

4. The workman filed a rejoinder re-iterating his contentions.

5. The workman examined himself and three other witnesses. The employer examined himself as M.W.I, Exts. W1 to W5 were marked.

6. Admittedly the employer is running a coir spinning mill near Manglavil Kadavu. The case of the workman is that he has been working as fibre cleaner for a period of 6 years in that establishment. The case of the employer is that he had never employed the workman and no workman is employed in similar other establishments situated in the Arinalloor area.

7. The workman who was examined as W.W.1 has given evidence regarding the period of employment and other aspects of his case. He has examined W.W.2 and W.W.3 member of the local panchayat and his maternal uncle to prove his case of employment. The present Assistant Labour Officer, Karunagappally has been examined to prove a report Ext. W5 submitted by one of his predecessors in office.

8. The question has to be decided with reference to the oral evidence and probabilities of the case. There is no document to show that the workman has been employed in the employer's establishment. The only piece

of documentary evidence relied on for the purpose of proving the relationship is Ext. W1 an alleged book of account. The workman stated in examination in chief that Ext. W1 is the account written by the employer and handed over to him and it relates to the payment of wages to the workman (എന്റെ ശമ്പളം തരുന്നതുസംബന്ധിച്ച് എതൃകക്ഷി എഴുതിതന്ന കണക്കുബുക്കാണ് Ext. W1) He stated in cross-examination, that no d tes are shown in Ext. W1 and it does not contain the signatures of anyone (Ext. W1-ൽ date ഇല്ല. അതിൽ ആരുടെയും ഒപ്പില്ല.) At another stage of the cross-examination, the witness stated that Ext. W1 is the account relating to the work done by him, that it is written in the handwriting of the employer and that it is not true to say that the book was not written by the employer "(Ext. W1 ഈ മില്ലിൽ എന്റെ ജോലി കണക്ക് എഴുതുന്ന Book ആണ്. സച്ചിദാനന്ദൻ മുതലാളി യുടെ കയ്യക്ഷരത്തിലാണ്. അത് സച്ചിദാനന്ദൻ മുതലാളി എഴുതിയതല്ല എന്നുപറയുന്നതു ശരിയല്ല". The witn:ss has not spoken with reference to any particular entry in Ext. W1 No serious attempt was made to establish that Ext. W1 is in the handwriting of the employer. The workman could have produced documents admittedly in the handwriting of the employer in order to enable the court to compare the handwriting. Persons acquainted with the handwriting of the employer could have been summoned and examined to prove that Ext. W1 is in the handwriting of the employer. The employer who has denied the genuiness of Ext. W1 has admitted that the names shown in Ext. W1 are the names of persons who regularly take husks to his spinning mill. Some of those persons could have been summoned and examined to prove the entries relating to them in Ext. W1. As has already been seen even the workman has not attempted to prove any particular entry in Ext. W1. As it is one has to proceed on the basis that Ext. W1 is not of much help to the workman in establishing his case of employer-employee relationship.

9. According to the workman, his work was to put the husks in the mill, note in the account and on completion of thirty days' collect the amount from the customers and hand over the same to the Proprietor. According to WW2, WW1 was employed by Sachithanandan in his mill for about 6 years. He stated in cross-examination, that Sadanandan, his father-in-law is having a similar mill that the said mill is now in the name of the witness that he himself is appointing workman for his mill, that when his father-in-law was the owner, he himself used to run the mill, that he knows the mill of Dasan and Dasan used to employ labourers, that on the western side of the employer's mill there is the mill owned by Varayil Thankappan Pillai and that the witness does not know the names of the employees of that mill. The witness denied the suggestion that usually the persons who bring the husks themselves put the husks in the mill. According to the witness, he is not a member of any political party.

10. The third witness is the maternal uncle of WW2. He has also stated that the workman has been employed in the mill of the employer for about 6 years. He stated in cross-examination that the expression "താറുക" is used for the work of cleaning the husks or fibre "(ചകിരി തല്ലി ശരിയാക്കുക അതാണ് താറുക എന്നുപറയുന്നത്. ചകിരി ഫേർമ്മയാക്കി എടു

ക്കുക എന്ന ജോലിക്കാണ് താററുക എന്നുപറയുന്നത്. സാധാരണ ആ ജോലി 14 വയസിനു താഴെയുള്ള പെൺകുട്ടികളല്ലെ (Q) നേർമ്മയാക്കി എടുക്കുന്ന ജോലി കുട്ടികളാണ് ചെയ്യുന്നത് A". The witness further stated that the workman is a congressman and WW2 is also a congressman. The witness was not able to say whether there are employees in the mill owned by Ravindran. The witness admitted that he does not know the names of the employees of the other mills.

11. The fourth witness is the present Assistant Labour Officer, Karunagappally. He was examined to prove a report made by his predecessor in office. The workman had sought financial aid from the Government and the Assistant Labour Officer had reported that Sri Ramakrishnan's services were terminated due to some personal grudge. The report reads "Sri P. Ramakrishnan's service has been terminated due to some personal grudge. Sri Ramakrishnan made a thatched shed in the Puramboke land which was in the custody of Sri Sachithanandan. Due to this action some police case has been initiated against him and his services with the employer has been terminated without any notice or paying any compensation.......... ....There is no sufficient record to prove the length of the service of Sri P. Ramakrishnan under Sri Sachidanandan. But the other coir manufacturers in the area as well as their workers stated that he has been worked out about six years with Sri Sachidanandan." The officer who submitted the report has not been examined. The case of the workman is that he was denied employment because he had helped another person to put up a shed in the puramboke land. According to the Assistant Labour Officer, the workman himself had made a shed in the puramboke land. Further the names of the persons questioned by the Assistant Labour Officer are not seen mentioned in the report. As it is, I am not placing any reliance on the report submitted by the Assistant Labour Officer.

12. The question for consideration is whether a finding in favour o the workman can be given on the basis of the oral evidence of WW1, WW 2 and WW 3. The defence of the employer is that himself the workman and WWs. 2 and 3 belonged to the same political party and after the split of the party, the workman and his witnesses went to one group while the employer joined the opposite camp and this industrial dispute has been referred at the instance of the opposite group. Admittedly, there was a criminal case against the employer for setting fire to the shed put up by one Kumaran. The case of the workman is that he had helped Kumaran to put up a shed in the puramboke land adjacent to the land in the possession of the employer and it was due to that enmity, that his services were terminated. The case charged at the instance of Kumaran was filed before the First Class Magistrate's Court of Karunagappally as C. C. 4 of 1977. Ext. W2 is the charge sheet in that case. The name of Kumaran is shown as the name of the informant. The first accused was Sachidanandan, the employer. The employer had filed another case before the Karunagappally Court as C.C. 269 of 1977. In that case, the workman and WW 3 had figured as accused. C.C. 4/77 as well as C.C. 269/77 had ended in acquittal. WW2 is the nephew of WW3, who had figured as accused

in the case filed by the employer. According to WW2, he is not the member of any political party. According to his uncle, WW2 as well as WW1 belong to the congress party. The political rivalry alleged by the employer has been considerably substantiated. The unwillingness of WW2 to admit his relationship with any political party also strengthens the case of political rivalry put forward by the employer. There were criminal cases between the workman and his supporters on the one side and the employer on the other. In the circumstances, it is not safe to rely on the oral evidence of WWs. 2 and 3.

13. It was argued on behalf of the workman, that the probabilities are in his favour. The employer has admitted that whenever electricity is available the mill works from 10 a.m to 4 p.m. The case of the employer is that he is not employing any labourer for working the mill and himself and his children are doing the work. One of his sons has taken the B Sc. Degree and the others are studying in the High School. The working time of the school is from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. It was argued that the services of the children would not be available between 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. and therefore it should be presumed that the employer was engaging others for carrying on the work of the mill. Even the witnesses examined on behalf of the workman have admitted that no labourers are employed in many of the similar establishments in the area. Therefore it cannot be said that the case of the employer that he himself was running the mill is highly improbable.

14. After anxious consideration of all the above circumstances, I have come to the conclusion, that the workman has not succeeded in establishing his case that he was an employee of the mill owned by Sri Sachithanandan. Therefore I have come to the conclusion, that there was no relationship of employer and employee between Sri Sachithanandan and Sri Ramakrishnan and there was no denial of employment.

15. In the result, I pass an award, holding that there was no denial of employment to Sri Ramakrishnan.

This award will take effect on the expiry of 30 days from the date of its publication in the Gazette.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed out by him, corrected by me on this the 30th day of July, 1982.

T.V. KUNHAHAMED,
Presiding Officer.

G.A. 161/J.

Appendix

Witness examined on the side of the Management:

MW1. Shri K. P. Sachithanandan

Witnesses examined on the side of the Worker:

WW1 P. Ramakrishnan.

WW2 G. Ravindran.

WW3 V. Raghavan.

WW4 V. Radhakrishnan.

Exhibits marked on the side of the Worker:

Ext W1 Account book.

Ext. W2 Attested copy of chargesheet No. 136/76 dated 24-12-1976.

Ext. W3 True copy of enquiry report submitted by the Assistant Labour Officer, Karunagappally to the District Labour Officer, Quilon

Ext. W4 True copy of register of summary trials held before the Magistrate of 1st Class, Karunagappally.

Ext. W5 Report of the Assistant Labour Officer.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 731/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 12th July* 1982.

The award of the Industrial Tribunal Alleppey in respect of the dispute between the President, Shertallai Taluk Milk Supplies and Marketing Co-operative Society Ltd. No. A. 157, Shertallai P. O. and their workmen represented by the Secretary, Kerala State Co-operative Employees Association, Shertallai Taluk Committee, Shertallai. P. O. received by Government on 6-7-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. SIVADASAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Court of the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey

Dated this the 25th day of May, 1982

Present :

SHRI K. KANAKACHANDRAN, B. SC., LL.B
Industrial Tribunal

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 13/1979

Between

The President, Shertallai Taluk Milk Supplies and Marketing Co-operative Society Ltd., No. A. 157, Shertallai P. O.

And

The Secretary, Kerala State Co-operative Employees Association, Shertallai Taluk Committee, Shertallai P. O.

Representations :

Sri. C. K. Parameswara Panicker,
Advocate, Alleppey. : For *Management.*

Sri. K. P. Chellappan Nair,
Advocate, Alleppey. : For Union.

G.A. 143/V.

AWARD

The Industrial Dispute between Shertallai Taluk Milk Supplies and Marketing Co-oprative Society Ltd., No. A. 157, Shertallai and the workman of the above concern was referred to this Tribunal for adjudication by G. O. (Rt) No. 728/79/L&HD dated 18-5-1979. The dismissal of Sri. N. R. Pavithran, an employee of the Management Society, was the issue referred for adjudication.

2. The facts leading to the case are that Sri. N. R. Pavithran, the workman, was suspended pending enquiry on 13-9-1976 on the allegation that he had added 2.050 liters of water in 15 liters of milk. On this allegation, charges were framed by the Management and one K. P. Govindan Nair, Advocate, Shertallai was appointed as Enquiry Officer. It was stated in the charge sheet that the delinquent workman had added water in the milk before distribution and when he was caught, he had admitted the adulteration made by him. According to the Management, this untoward action on the part of the workman would be detrimental to the reputation of the society and it was against the interest of the society also. On this charge, a domestic enquiry was conducted by the Enquiry Officer Sri. K. P. Govindan Nair and the submitted a report to the Management Society on 23-4-1977. Two issues were framed by him at the time of conducting of enquiry:—

(1) Whether Sri. Pavithran has adultered 15 liters of milk with water on 13-9-1976 for personal gains.

(2) Whether the statement given by Sri. Pavithran on 13-9-1976 and 14-9-1976 were obtained under mistake, undue influence and coercion.

3. Both the issues formulated were answered; first issue in the affirmative and the 2nd in the negative by the Enquiry Officer. Thus the Enquiry Officer came to the conclusion that the workman had adultered 15 liters of milk supplied to him from the Society for distribution by adding 2.050 liters of water. This adulteration, according to the Enquiry Officer's finding, was for personal gains of the workman. By accepting the enquiry report the Management Society by a proceedings dated 22-5-1977 dismissed the workman from service with effect from 13-9-1976. This dismissal later precipitated to an industrial dispute which ultimately referred to this Tribunal for adjudication.

4. In the claim statement, the allegations levelled against the workman by the management at the time of domestic enquiry were denied. According to the workman, the dismissal was on account of personal animosity of the President and Secretary of the Society towards him. It was alleged in the claim statement that the enquiry conducted was farce and Enquiry Officer's attempt all the while was to safeguard the interest of the management. It was further alleged that sufficient opportunity was not given to the delinquent to prove his innocence. According to the workman, there is no justifiable grounds warranting his dismissal from service and the punishment of dismissal is excessive. It is also pleaded that the workman may be reinstated with full backwages,

5. The Management filed reply statement in which it was contended that the enquiry conducted was an impartial one and there was sufficient ground for fixing guilt on the delinquent. There was no ulterior motive in framing charges against the workman and there was no intention to terminate his service from the society illegally. It is contended further that the workman had participated in the enquiry proceeding by engaging a counsel.

6. Since the workman attacked the validity of the enquiry, the same was taken as a preliminary issue for determination and the parties were called upon to adduce evidence to substantiate their contentions. The Enquiry Officer was examined before this Court as MW1 and through him Ext. M1 and M1 (a) were marked. Ext. M1 is the file relating to enquiry and M1 (a) is the report submitted by the Enquiry Officer. After the examination of the Enquiry Officer, it was submitted on behalf of the workman that the plea raised by him against the validity of the enquiry was not pressed. Therefore a preliminary order was passed by me on 9-2-1982. I am extracting below the order passed by me on the preliminary issue:—

ORDER

"In the claim statement filed by the Union it was alleged that the enquiry conducted by the management was farce and the finding of the enquiry Officer was illegal and perverse. Hence the question of validity of the enquiry was treated as a preliminary issue and opportunity was given to both parties to adduce evidence.

The Management had produced the Report submitted by the Enquiry Officer before this Tribunal. The Enquiry Officer Sri K. P. Govindan Nair, Advocate, was examined before this Tribunal as MW1 and marked Ext. M1, and Ext. M1 A. Ext. M1 is the file relating to the domestic enquiry and Ext. M1 A is the Report. No evidence was adduced on behalf of the dismissed workman.

When the matter was posted for hearing on 22-1-1982, the counsel for Union submitted that he was not pressing the contention raised against the validity of the domestic enquiry. It was further contended that the domestic enquiry conducted by the Management might be declared as proper. In view of the submission made on behalf of the union, I hold that the domestic enquiry conducted by the Management was fair and proper. The contesting parties are at liberty to adduce evidence regarding the propriety of the punishment awarded to the workman.

Dated 9th day of February, 1982".

7. In view of this, the only question now remaining is propriety of the punishment awarded to the workman. For this, it will be helpful to go through the evidence adduced by both sides. The workman was examined before this Court as WW1. According to him he had 13 years

of unblemished service and not even single occasion any sort of allegation was raised against him. The milk alleged to have been adulterated was not tested by lactometer nor it was sent for any laboratory test. There was no finding, by any competent authority to show that the workman had adulterated the milk. No prosecution step was also taken against him. There is no case for the Management that he had distributed the adulterated milk for the purpose of illegal gains. On the other hand it is the case of the workman that one K. N. Purushan, a fellow worker, had adulterated milk previously and he had been prosecuted by the Municipal authorities. Although he was convicted by the Criminal Court, he is still workeing in the society and the Society had not initiated any disciplinary procreding against him. According to the workman, if a convicted fellow workeit who was found guilty by the Criminal Court can continue in service wf hout facing an y action there is no justification to impose the punishment oe dismissal on him merely on an allegation of adulteration. The facts revaled in the evidence tendered by WW1 was practically corroborated by the Secretary of the Society who appeared before this Court as MW2 . He admitted in the cross examination that in former occasions, the society itself was convicted twice for adulteration in the milk. One of the accused in the case was K. N. Purushan and he was also convicted and yet he wat not proceeded against by initiating disciplinary action.

Now it is an admitted fact that another employee of the management society who had been convicted twice by the Criminal Court for the offence of adulteration of milk is still retained in service. The workman herein has not been convicted for any such offence. It is not proved that on account of such commission of offence he gained anything personally. Even according to the management, the past service of the workman is without any scar. Therefore, the punishment of dismissal awarded to the workman while retaining a convict without any disciplinary proceedings, is nothing but victimisation. It is clearly an unfair labour practice. Therefore I have to interfere on the punishment imposed the workman.

In the normal course if the dismissal is found to be on the basis of unfair labour practice amounting to victimisation, the only recourse would the reinstatement of the workman. In this case I am not inclined to order reinstatement of the workman since the trust reposed by the management on him is seen lost. The nature of duty of the workman is the one which requires mutual trust and confidence. Therefore instead of reinstatement, I pass this award directing the management to pay a sum of Rs. 5,000 as compensation in lieu of reinstatement. The workman will be entitled for half of arrears of wages from the date of his suspension to the date of coming to the force of this award. If the management fails to pay the monetary benefits due to the workman within two months from the date of coming into force of this award, he will have to be reinstated in service.

K. KANAKACHANDRAN,
Industrial Tribunal.

Appendix

Witness Examined on the side of the Management

MW1. K. P. Govindan Nair.
MW1 (A) K. N. Raveendran

Witness Examined on the side of the Applicant.

WW1. N. R. Pavithran.

Exhibits marked on the side of the Management.

Ext. M1. Documents in respect of Enquiry proceedings.
,, M2. Memo No. 3/1971-72 Dated 24-7-1971 issued to N. R. Pavithran.
,, M3. Memo dated 26-7-1971 issued to N. R. Pavithran.
,, M4. Notice No. 29/1967-77 Dated 14-9-1976 issued to 14-9-1976.
,, M5. Letter dated 21-12-1976 from N. R. Pavithran to the Management.
,, M6. Letter dated 26-7-1971 from the workman to the Management.
,, M7. Notice dated 20-7-1971.

Exhibits marked on the side of the Applicant.

Nil.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1015/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 18th September 1982.*

The award of the Industrial, Tribunal, Alleppey in respect of the dispute between the Managing Partner, Timpeck Plywood Mills, Porathissery, Irinjalakuda and the workmen of the above concern represented by the Secretary Timpeck Plywood and Prakash Saw Mills Workers Union, Porathissery, Irinjalakuda received by Government on 26-8-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. Sivadasan,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Court of the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey

Dated this the 11th day of August, 1982

Present:

Shri K. KANAKACHANDRAN, B.SC., LL.B.
Industrial Tribunal

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 38/82
(Old No. I.D. 16/81 before the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut)

Between

The Managing Partner, Timpeck Plywood Mills,
Porathissery, Irinjalakuda.

And

The workmen of the above concern represented by the Secretary,
Timpeck Plywood and Prakash Saw Mills Workers Union,
Porathissery, Irinjalakuda.

Representations:

M/s Joseph & Kuriyan,
Advocates,
Cochin. } For *Management*

M/s M. Ramachandran & K. R.B. Kaimal,
Advocates,
Cochin. } For *Union*

GA. 163/82/J.

AWARD

Retrenchment of two workers viz., K.K. Damodaran, Accounts Clerk Cum Typist and M. S. Noushad, Supervisor, from the service of Timpeck Plywood Mills, Irinjalakuda was the issue referred for adjudication by G.O. (Rt) No. 447/81/LBR dated 7-3-1981 of the Government of Kerala.

2. On behalf of the workers, the Secretary of the Timpeck Plywood and Prakash Saw Mills Workers Union filed a claim statement and the management filed a counter statement also.

3. On 20-4-1982 when this case was called, it was submitted on behalf of both sides that the matter would be settled between the parties and accordingly this dispute was adjourned. On 11-9-1982 a joint petition duly signed by both management and the workmen was filed before this Court with the prayer that an award may be passed by recording the terms of the settlement. As per the joint petition filed before this Court on 11-8-1982, the terms of settlement read as follows:—

"The management will pay a sum of Rs. 2,400 to M.S. Noushad and Rs. 1,200 to K.K. Damodaran, the workmen who were retrenched by the Management. The above payments are in full and final settlement of all their claims, rights and entitlements including for retrenchment compensation, notice pay, arrears of wages etc.,"

4. It was also stated in the joint petition that the workmen will not have any further claim or dispute against the Management.

5. By recording the above terms of settlement, an award is passed accordingly.

K. KANAKACHANDRAN,
Industrial Tribunal.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 729/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 12th July 1982.*

The award of the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey in respect of the dispute between the Managing Director, G. T. N. Textiles Ltd., Alwaye and the workmen of the above concern represented by the Secrctary, Alwaye Textile Workers Union, G. T. N. Unit, Alwaye (2) The Secretary, Alwaye Textile Employees Association, G. T. N. Unit, Alwaye (3) The Secretary, G. T. N. Staff & Workers Union, Alwaye (4) The Secretary, G. T. N. Textile Employees Union, Alwaye (5) The Secretary, Alwaye Mekhala Textile Mazdoor Sangh, G. T. N. Unit, Alwaye and (6) The Secretary, G. T. N. Workers Federation, Alwaye received by Government on 3-7-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,

K. SIVADASAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Court of the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey

Dated this the 9th day of June 1982

Present:

SHRI K. KANAKACHANDRAN, B. SC., LL. B.,
Industrial Tribunal

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 52/1982

Between

The Managing Director, G. T. N. Textiles Ltd., Alwaye

And

The Workmen of the above concern represented by the Secretary, Alwaye Textile Workers Union, G. T. N. Unit, Alwaye (2) The Secretary, Alwaye Textile Employees Association, G. T. N. Unit, Alwaye (3) The Secretary, G. T. N. Staff & Workers Union, Alwaye (4) The Secretary, G. T. N. Textile Employees Union, Alwaye (5) The Secretary Alwaye Mekhala Textile Mazdoor Sangh, G. T. N. Unit, Alwaye and (6) The Secretary, G. T. N. Workers Federation, Alwaye.

Representation:—

M/s Menon & Pai, Advocates, Ernakulam. .. For *Management*

G A. 142/V.

AWARD

The above Industrial Dispute was referred to this Tribunal for adjudication by G. O. (Rt) No. 354/82/LBR dated 2-4-1982. The issue referred was "bonus" for the year 1980-81.

2. Notice was issued from this Court for the appearance of parties on 21-4-1982. On that day the Management alone entered appearance. The case was adjourned to 26-5-1982 and on that day it was noted that notice issued to Union No. 6 was returned without service. Hence it was ordered to publish the notice in respect of Union No. 6 in one of the dailies. Accordingly the Management published notice in the Deshabhimani Daily on 2-6-1982.

3. Despite the service of notice, the unions remained absent. The Management filed a statement and also documents. As directed by this Court, an affidavit was also filed by the Management. The affidavit filed on 9-6-1982 was sworn to by M. V. R. Nair, Secretary of the G. T. N. Textiles Ltd., Alwaye. It is stated in the affidavit that there is no available surplus for payment of Bonus for the year 1980-81 and therefore the workers are entitled only for minimum bonus as provided in the Payment of Bonus Act. A plea was also raised by the Management that an Award may be passed holding that the workers of G. T. N. Textiles Ltd., are entitled only for minimum bonus for the year 1980-81. The statement contained in the affidavit filed by the Management is recorded.

4. In view of the non-appearance of Unions despite the receipt of notices from this Court, it has to be presumed that no industrial dispute is now subsisting warranting any sort of adjudication by this Tribunal. Therefore this Award is passed by recording the statement contained in the affidavit filed by the Management.

K. KANAKACHANDRAN,
Industrial Tribunal.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No 815/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30th July 1982*

The award of the Industrial Tribunal Alleppey in respect of the dispute between the Managing Director, Chackolas Spinning & Weaving Mills, Ltd., Kalamassery, Alwaye and their workmen represented by (1) General Secretary, Chackolas Spinning & Weaving Mills Employees Union, INTUC, Kalamassery P. O. (2) The General Secretary, Staff and Workers Union (AITUC), Kalamassery (3) General Secretary, Alwaye Textile Workers' Union (CITU) Kalamessery, (4) General Secretary Chakola Mill employees Association. Kalamassery (5) General Secretary, Chakola Mills Employees Federation, Kalamassery and (6) General Secretary, Chakola Mills Jobbers Association, Kalamassery, received by Government on 26-7-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,

K. SIVADASAN,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Court, of the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey

Dated this the 23rd day of June, 1982

Present:

SHRI K. KANAKACHANDRAN B. SC., LL. B.,

Industrial Tribunal

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 53/1982

Between

The Managing Director, Chakolas Spinning & Weaving Mills, Ltd., Kalamassery, Alwaye.

And

The workmen of the above concern represented by (1) General Secretary, Chakol s Spinning & Weaving Mills Employees Union, INTUC, Kalamassery P. O. (2) General Secretary, staff and Workers Union (AITUC), Kalamassery, (3) General Secretary, Alwaye Textile Workers Union (CITU) Kalamassery, (4) General Secretary Chakola Mills Employees Association Kalamassery (5) General Secretary, Chakola Mills Employees Federation Kalamassery and (6) General Secretary, Chakola Mills Jobbers Association, Kalamassery.

Representations :—

M/s. Menon & Pai, : For *Management.*

Advocates.

AWARD

The above industrial dispute was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal by G. O. (Rt.) No. 355/82/LBR Labour (A) Department dated 2-4-1982. The issue referred for adjudication was relating to the Bonus for the year 1980-31 in the Chakolas Spinning & Weaving Mills Ltd., Kalamassery.

2. In spite of the receipt of notices for appearance before this Court, the Unions remained absent. Therefore all the unions were ex-parte on 26-5-1982. In view of this, the management was directed to file an affidavit and the case was adjourned to 9-6-1982.

3. On 9-6-1932, on behalf of the Management it was submitted that although the unions did not appear before this Court in response to the notice sent to them, they had attended a conference which was convened by the Labour Commissioner and the matter would be settled out of Court. On the basis of above submission the case was adjourned to 23-6-1982. On 23-6-1932 the management filed an application signed by the Managing Director of the Management Company was also the office bearers of the Unions concerned in the dispute. In the joint application it is stated that the issue referred was amicably settled at the conferrence convened by the Labour Commissioner, Trivandrum and as per the settlement dated 8-6-1982 it was agreed to pay to the workmen 8 1/3 percent of the wages of the year 1980-81 as the Bonus for the above period. The statement contained in the joint application is recorded.

4. In view of the settlement effected by the parties there is no dispute now in existence warranting adjudication by the Court.

K. KANAKACHANDRAN,
Industrial Tribunal.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.

PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 874/82/LBR *Dated, Trivandrum 11th August* 1982.

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Management of Roshini Cold Storage (P) Ltd., 3rd Main Road, Cochin-3 and (2) Roshini Cold Storage, 119/120, Armenian Street, Madras-1 and their workmen represented by the General Secretary, The Cochin Commercial Employees Association, Cochin-1 received by Government on 6-8-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,

K. SIVADASAN,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

Dated this the 2nd day of August, 1982

Present :

SHRI N. SUKUMARAN, B. SC., B. L.,

Presiding Officer

In

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 339 of 1979

Between

(1) Roshni Cold Storage (P) Ltd., 3rd Main Road, Cochin-3 and (2) Roshni Cold Storage, 119/120, Armenian Street, Madras-1.

And

The workmen of the above concern represented by the General Secretary, The Cochin Commercial Employees Association, Cochin-1

Representations :—

Shri B. S. Krishnan,
Advocate, Ernakulam .. For *Management.*

M/s M. Ramachandran & K.R.B.Kaimal,
Advocates, Cochin-17. .. For *Union.*

GA. 155/V.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 730/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 12th July 1982.*

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Managing Partner, Alwaye Minerals and Chemicals, Regipuram, Kizhakkambalam P. O., Via Alwaye and their workmen represented by the Secretary, Alwaye Minerals and Chemicals Employees Union, Temple Road, Alwaye received by Government on 6-7-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. SIVADASAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

Dated this the 29th day of June, 1982

Present:

SHRI N. SUKUMARAN, B.sc., B.L.,
Presiding Officer

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 69 of 1980

Between

The Managing Partner, Alwaye Minerals and Chemicals, Regipuram, Kizhakkambalam P.O., Via Alwaye

And

The workman of the above concern represented by the Secretary, Alwaye Minerals and Chemicals Employees Union, Temple Road, Alwaye.

Representations:—

M/s M. V. Joseph & A. V. Xavier, Advocates, Ernakulam. .. For *Management.*

M/s. M. Ramachandran & K.R.B. Kaimal, Advocates, Cochin-17. .. For *Union.*

AWARD

The issue referred for adjudication by Government as per G. O. (Rt.) No. 794/80/LBR dated 3-6-1980 is "Dismissal of Sri. C. P. Antony."

II. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against Shri C. P. Antony by the Management and he was dismissed after a domestic enquiry. The validity of the domestic enquiry was considered by me as a preliminary issue and the matter disposed of as per my order dated 21-6-1982. Necessary facts have been stated in that order which I shall re-produce here in full:-

"ORDER

Dismissal of an employee by name C. P. Antony is the issue involved in this reference. The Management in its written statement, contends that Shri C. P. Antony manhandled a co-worker Shri Beeran during working hours on 18-3-1977 and therefore disciplinary proceedings were initiated against him. It was so done on the basis of a written complaint filed by Shri Beeran. Shri Antony was placed under suspension. He was served with formal charges to which he submitted his explanations. His explanations were not satisfactory and so a domestic enquiry was conducted. The Advocate who conducted the enquiry found him guilty of the charge. Shri Antony, therefore, was dismissed for the proved misconduct which deserves that punishment. There are no reasons to interfere with the punishment. It is also contended that the reference is not maintainable. However that contention is not pressed before me.

2. The Union in the charter of demands attacks the dismissal as unsustainable. The position is further clarified in the rejoinder by saying that Shri Antony, who was the Treasurer of the Trade Union of the workers of the establishment, was victimised by the Management. The allegation that he assaulted a co-worker Shri Beeran is false. It is only a story invented to get rid of Shri Antony somehow or other. The enquiry was only a farce. It was not held in accordance with principles of natural justice. The Enquiry Officer was biased and his findings are perverse. Shri Antony has to be reinstated with all benefits.

3. The question as to whether there was a valid enquiry is being tried as a preliminary issue. The Advocate who conducted the enquiry was examined as MW1. The enquiry file is Ext. M 1. That is all the evidence available.

4. Admittedly Shri Antony was the Treasurer of the Trade Union of the workers of the establishment. That circumstance is relied on by the Union to argue for the position that the action of the Management amounts to victimisation. The mere fact that action is taken against a worker who is an office bearer of the Union does not mean that it amounts to victimisation. If actually the accused workman is guilty then the fact that he happens to be on office bearer may not be of any significance at all. So the question of victimisation has to be considered on the merits of the allegations.

5. This is a case where the Management initiated action on the basis of a complaint which had been marked at the enquiry as Ext. M1. The

complaint was filed by Shri Beeran. On the basis of that complaint Shri Antony was placed under suspension. He was served with a charge also. A copy of the charge is marked as Ext. A 2 in Ext. M 1. Shri Antony's explanation is also contained therein. He had filed a detailed explanation pleading innocence of the charges. Shri Antony participated in the enquiry. He had cross-examined all the six witnesses examined on the side of the Management. It is seen that elaborate cross-examination of all the witnesses was made. Shri Antony had three witnesses on his side including himself. All the three were examined. There is no complaint that the Enquiry Officer in any way interfered with the legitimate right of the workman to have a proper defence. It was also conceded by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Union at the time of arguments that the enquiry was properly held giving all opportunities to the workman to defend himself and the objections otherwise are not pressed. In these state of affairs I hold that the enquiry was held properly in accordance with principles of natural justice.

6. The only objection pressed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Union is that the evidence available is insufficient to support the charges. It is also argued that evidence was let in on matters that were not subject matter of the charge. In the complaint Shri Beeran stated only generally that he was assaulted. The charge also is limited to an assault in general terms. At the stage of evidence the witnesses had given the details of the assault. According to the witnesses for the Management Shri Antony hit a push cart against Shri Beeran's leg and thereby caused hurt to him. They say further that Shri Beeran was fisted on his jaw by Shri Antony. The absence of such details in the charge is taken as a circumstance by the learned counsel for the Union to argue that the Management had shaped a case later when nothing of the details were given in the charge and the complaint of the alleged victim. But the gist was given in the charge as given in the complaint and the absence of the details therefore can not be treated as a circumstance to discard the evidence which gives the particulars.

7. Of the six witnesses were examined on the side of the Management the first witness, the Managing Partner of the establishment and the last witness, an office employee, have no direct knowledge regarding the incident. They have only hearsay information and they speak about the follow up action taken on the basis of the complaint of Shri Beeran. We are not much concerned with those aspects. So we need only scrutinise the evidence of witness Nos.2 to 5 examined at the enquiry on the side of the Management and the testimony of Shri Antony and his two witnesses to see as to whether the charge is established or not.

8. The incident is alleged to have taken place soon after 8 a. m. when the 8 a.m. 4 p.m. shift started in the factory. It is common case that there was no sufficient work to be provided to all workers throughout the week at the relevant time. The available work was being distributed on equitable basis. Beeran and Antony were both workers of the sand d...

The Management's case is that there was no available work for both on the crucial date and Shri Beeran who was not provided as much work as in the case of Shri Antony in the relevant week was alloted work and Shri Antony was asked not to do work on that day and thereupon Shri Antony started the trouble insisting that he will also work. Witness Nos. 2 to 5 for the Management have given evidence that Shri Antony persisted in doing the work disregarding the directions of the Supervisor (the Supervisor who is the 5th witness) and cause hurt to Shri Beeran, who was working by hitting him with a push cart and fisting him on his jaw. Nothing is brought out in the cross-examination of these witnesses from which it could be said that they are not worthy of credit. The two witnesses for Shri Antony say that nothing special occurred in the factory at the relevant time. But this is against Shri Antony's statement that there was a wordly quarrel involving himself and Shri Beeran in relation to the work that was to be done on that day. We have also to remember that it is the admitted case that there was some sort of tension following the early morning incident resulting in the Management's closure of the factory for that shift. In these state of affairs the evidence of the witnesses of Shri Antony that nothing in particular occurred cannot be accepted. Shri Antony himself admits that something unusual happened. Of course he denies having assaulted Shri Beeran. The Management's witnesses who are also co-workers of Shri Antony state that the trouble was created by Shri Antony and that Shri Beeran was assaulted. In these state of affairs the conclusion that could be made reasonably is the one of guilt reached by the Enquiry Officer. That conclusion cannot be termed as perverse in any way. I find that the findings are correct. In view of the evidence available it is not possible to say that the action of the Management amounts to victimisation.

9. In the result it is hereby found that there was a valid and proper enquicy and that the findings of the Enquiry Officer are correct."

III. Now the question remains as to what relief if any the workman is entitled. Shri Antony is now 29 years in age. He is left without any job. There is no evidence that Shri Antony created the disturbances which resulted in his dismissal as part of a plan to cause damage to the Management. The trouble started and developed on Shri Antony's claim that he was entitled to work in the place of the other workman. There is nothing to show that the antecedents of Shri Antony are bad. So he is a first offender. Depriving him of his job and the source of livelihood will be too hard in the circumstances. But he cannot escape without punishment. In the circumstances of this case I feel that he has to forego all his past services. At the same time the Management can very well entertain him as a fresh recruit. Relief to that extent can be granted to the workman. In the result an award is passed directing the Management to provide work to Shri Antony in the category in which he was working. He will be absorbed as a fresh recruit without any benefits for past services.

N. Sukumaran,
Presiding Officer.

Ernakulam,

Appendix

Winess examined on the Management's side:

MW 1 Shri Jose Manavalan.

Witness examined on the Union's side:

WW 1 Shri Antony.

Exhibit marked on the Management's side:

Ext. M1. The file relating to the domestic enquiry.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 818/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30th July* 1982.

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Manager, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar and the workmen of the above concern represented by the Secretary, Devicolam Estate Workers Union, Munnar received by Government on 26-7-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. Sivadasan,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

Dated this the 16th day of July, 1982

Present :

Shri N. SUKUMARAN, B.Sc., B.L.,

Presiding Officer

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 50 OF 1981

Between

The Manager, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar

And

The workmen of the above concern represented by the Secretary, Devicolam Estate Workers Union, Munnar.

Representations:

M/s Menon & Pai,
Advocates, Ernakulam .. For *Management*

Shri K. Damodara Kurup, Advocate,
Associated Law Chambers, Cochin-11. .. For *Union*

G.A. 147/V.

AWARD

Dismissal of two employees gave room for an industrial dispute which resulted in the reference as per G. O. (Rt.) No. 655/81/LBR dated 20-5-1981.

II. The two employees are man and wife. They were dismissed on the charge that they absented without leave continuously for more than the period permissible under the Standing Orders. The dismissal was after a domestic enquiry which was held ex-parte. The validity of the domestic enquiry was tried by me as a preliminary issue wherein I found that the enquiry was proper. Necessary facts have been narrated in that order which I shall here extract to make it a part of this award :—

"ORDER

Shri R. Muniandy and his wife Smt. Selvarathy were workers of the Pallivasal Estate. While so Shri Muniandy proceeded on annual leave with wages and he was due to report for duty on its expiry on 1-4-1980. He applied for extention of leave for 15 days. The Management asked him to return stating that the extention cannot be granted. But he did not return till 20-5-1980. So the Management initiated disciplinary proceedings by issuing a chargesheet. A domestic enquiry was also proposed to be held on 4-6-1980, He did not participate and an ex-parte enquiry was conducted. He was discharged finding that the was guilty of absence without leave for more than ten consecutive days, a misconduct as per the Standing Orders applicable.

2. The case against Smt. Selvarathy is that she absented without leave from 9-5-1980 onwards. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against her by issuing a charge-sheet on 11-8-1980 stating that she was absent from 9-5-1980 till that date. She also did not participate in the enquiry proposed. Ex-parte domestic enquiry was conducted against her and she was also discharged for the misconduct.

3. The Union while admitting the absence of both the workmen contends in its claim statement that Shri Muniandy was applying for extention of leave on medical grounds and the same was not granted and Smt. Selvarathy had to leave the Estate on 9-5-1980 to attend her husband on sick bed. She left after informing the matter to the Estate authorities. The inability of Shri Muniandy to attend duty on account of the illness was reported to the Management supported by a medical certificate. In the circumstances the workmen should not have been dismissed. The workmen are now ready to join duty and therefore they are to be reinstated. The ex-parte enquiries have no validity in the circumstances.

4. Exts. M1 and M2 are respectively the files relating to domestic enquiries conducted against Shri Muniandy and Smt. Selvarathy respectively. Ext. M1 contains an application for leave sent by post by Shri Muniandy wherein he had applied for extension of 15 days leave on the ground of illness. Those 15 days were due to expire on 15-4-1980.

Admittedly no application for further extension of leave was submitted by him till his dismissal. The medical certificate was submitted after the dismissal. In the case of Smt. Selvarathy there was no application for leave at all. So it is an admitted case that there was absence without leave in the case of both the workmen for more than ten consecutive days when the disciplinary proceedings were initiated. Even though the enquiries were ex-parte the fact remains that there was absence without leave. The question as to whether the workmen had justifiable reasons for the absence is a matter that can be considered while moulding the reliefs, if any, to which the workmen are entitled. There is no serious objection regarding the procedure adopted in the matter of the domestic enquiries. So it can be held that there was a proper domestic enquiry. It can further be held that there was absence without leave. The gravity of the misconduct will be considered at the subsequent stage. I therefore hold that there were proper domestic enquiries and that the misconduct of absence of leave was found by the Enquiry Officer correctly. Ordered accordingly.

III. In view of the above preliminary order the only question remaining for consideration is as to whether the workmen are entitled to any reliefs using my discretion as per Section 11-A of the Industrial Disputes Act. Though the reference describes the termination as dismissal in fact the Management had only discharged the workman by way of punishment. The Union also has treated the termination as a dismissal in its claim statement presumably because of the mistake in the reference order.

IV. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Union vehemently argued for the position that the absence cannot be treated as a serious misconduct meriting the extreme penalty of dismissal especially in view of the fact that the worker Shri Muniandy had initially proceeded on sanctioned leave. According to the learned counsel the workman was unable to report for duty within the stipulated period for reasons beyond his control and the wife had to attend on him in his sick bed. But there is no evidence in support of this argument. So we have to proceed on the basis that there was unauthorised absence which amounts to a misconduct as per the Standing Orders.

V. The argument of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Management is that the workmen went in search of better fortune by way of other employment at a time when labour force was very much required in the Estate and it is a practice for labourers to absent themselves under some pretext or other when they can earn more wages elsewhere and therefore the normal functioning of the Estate becomes impossible if a serious view of the absence is not taken. However this is a case where no bad antecedents are attributed to these workmen. They are now offering themselves for employment. In the circumstances of this case I feel that the Management has to be directed to re-entertain these workmen. But it will not be a reinstatement with continuity of service or other benefits. The workmen will have to forego all their past services. The management will

provide employment to the two workmen as new recruits to the same post from which they dismissed. The workmen are not entitled to any other reliefs. An award is passed accordingly.

Ernakulam,
16-7-1982.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding Officer.

Appendix

Exhibits marked on the Management's side :

Ext. M1. Original proceedings of enquiry held against Shri Muniandy.
" M2. Original proceedings of enquiry held against Smt. Selvarathy.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 728/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 12th July 1982.*

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Manager, Sree Rubber Industries, Lalan Road, Jew Town, Cochin-2 and their workmen represented by Sri T. R. Viswanathan, Secretary, Aroor Industrial Estate Thozhilali Union, INTUC (U) (Taluk INTUC Secretary), Aroor. P. O., Alleppey District received by Government on 5-7-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. Sivadasan,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

Dated this the 29th day of June, 1982

Present:

Shri N. SUKUMARAN, B. Sc., B. L.,

Presiding Officer

In

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 20 OF 1982

Between

The Manager, Sree Rubber Industries, Lalan Road, Jew Town, Cochin-2

And

The workmen of the above concern represented by Shri T. R. Viswanathan, Secretary, Aroor Industrial Estate Thozhilali Union, INTUC (U) (Taluk INTUC Secretary), Aroor P. O., Alleppey District.

AWARD

The issues referred for adjudication by Government as per G. O. (Rt.) No. 377/82/LBR dated 15-4-1982 are the following:—

"(i) Refusal of the employer to continue to employ the seven workmen of Sree Rubber Industries, Industrial Estate, Aroor with effect from 6-5-1981 namely:—

1. K. Karthikeyan
2. C. L. Augustine
3. C. X. Paul
4. K. J. Francis
5. V. M. Thomas
6. V. K. Gopi and
7. P. N. Prakasan.

(ii) Relief, if any, due to the affected workmen."

2. In the charter of demands appended to the reference it is complained that the establishment had been locked out by the Management with effect from 6-5-1981 without any just cause resulting in the denial of employment to the workmen. Reinstatement with backwages is what is claimed.

3. Registered notice was issued to the employer and the union. They did not appear. So they were set exparte. But in the meanwhile separate statements have been filed before the Office stated to be from the Management and the Union wherein it is said that the matter had since been settled out of court and therefore it is unnecessary to proceed with the adjudication. In these state of affairs I hold that there is no subsisting industrial dispute available for adjudication. An award is passed accordingly.

Ernakulam,
29-6-1982.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding Officer.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.

PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 896/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 17th August 1982.*

The award of the Industrial Tribunal Calicut in respect of the dispute between the Director, Khemka Flour Mills (P) Ltd., west Hill, Calicut-5 and their workmen represented by the Secretary, wheat and Oil Mill workers Union (CITU), west Hill, Calicut-5 and (2) The Secretary, Calicut Mill Employees Union, INTUC Office, west Hill Calicut-5 received by Government on 6-8-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. SIVADASAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government

In the Court, of the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut

(Dated this the 20th day of July Nineteen hundred and eighty two)

Present:

SHRI K. P. DEVADAS, B. A., B. L.,
Industrial Tribunal Calicut.

In

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 10/79

Between

The Director, Khemka Flour Mills (P) Ltd., West Hill, Calicut-5.

And

1. The Secretary, Wheat and Oil Mill workers Union (CITU) West Hill, Calicut-5.
2. The Secretary, Calicut Mill Employees Union, INTUC Office, West Hill, Calicut-5.

AWARD

This is an Industrial dispute referred to this Tribunal for adjudication by Government of Kerala by order No. G. O. Rt. 652/79/L&H dated 5-5-1979. The issues referred are the following:

1. Determination of strength of workers in Milling Section and packing section.
2. Wage increase.
3. Bonus for 1976-77 and 1977-78.

Unions filed statements in support of the issues referred. They demanded revision ot the strength of workers to reduce the undue burden and hardships of the existing workers. Under issue No. 2 they state that the wages paid in this establishment is too low when compared with similar establishments in the region. The workers are therefore entitled for higher wages. Under issue No. 3 they say that the management has made huge profits and they are entitled to get the maximum bonus.

The management refutes the contentions raised by the unions. They say that the entire mill is organised and installed for automatic operation using pneumatic and gravitational forces. Hence supervision of machines is easy and no revision of strength of workers is necessary. The management have been running at a loss for the past so many years and therefore cannot afford any increase in wages. For the same reason they say that they are entitled to pay only minimum bonus.

The case came up for evidence and one witness was examined on the side of unions, and some documents were marked. The first union thereafter submitted that the matter stands settled and requested time to file the settlement. Counsel for the 2nd union also wanted time to verify whether his union has also entered into the settlement. The case was therefore adjourned to another date.

On 13-7-1982 the management produced copy of the settlement along with a statement stating that even though Union No. 2 represented in conciliation talks they . did not sign the agreement. Counsel for Union No. 2 reports no instructions. The uniou No. 2 was also absent, and they are therefore declared ex parte. In the circumstances of the case I find that Union. No. 2 is not now interested in the issues, for reasons best know to they themselves. The memorandum of Settlement in therefore accepted and I find that the issues stand settled by the same. The settlement is shown in the Annexure and an Award in terms thereof is hereby passed.

I pass this award as stated above.

K. P. Devadas,
Industrial Tribunal, Calicut.

Annexure

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT

Parties Present :—

Representing Employer 1. Sri S. S. Khemka, Director Khemka Flour Mills (P) Ltd., Calicut-5

2. Sri S. K. Arya, Manager, do.

Representing Employees 3. Sri K. M. Kuttykrishnan, Secretary, wheat and Oil Mill Workers Union, Calicut.

4. Sri P. Moosa, Joint Secretary do.

5. P. Mohamed Kutty, Secretary, Calicut Mill Employees Union (INTUC) Calicut.

Short Recital of the Dispute

The Government of Kerala referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut an industrial dispute between the management and work men of M/s. Khemka Flour Mills (P) Ltd., Calicut. The Tribunal took the dispute on his file as I. D. No. 10 of 1979. During the pendancy of proceedings before the Industrial Tribunal, the wheat and Oil Mills workers Union put forward certain new demands also. The parties had direct negotiations on both sets of issues. These did not succeed and the workmen went on a strike. In the mean while conciliation was initiated by the District Labour Officer, Kozhikode on the new sets of demands. However during the conciliation conferences held by the District Labour officer, Kozhikode on 24-12-1981 parties arrived at a settlement directly on all issues including those referred for adjudication on the terms and conditions set out hereunder.

Terms and conditions of Settlement

I. Strength of workers in the milling and packing sections:—

The present system in the Milling and packing sections will continue.

II. Wage Increase

(1) The wages of permanent workers in the packing section of the mill shall be increased by Rs. 4 (Four) per day. The packing workers shall in addition be given as increase of P. 75 (seventy five paise) per day in lieu of the annual increments discontinued in 1977. The total daily wages of the packing section workers shall be converted into monthly salary by multiplying the increased daily wages into 26 (twentysix)

(2) The salary of the monthly paid employees of the milling section, the office staff and other monthly paid employees of the mill shall be increased by Rs. 104 (Rupees one hundred and four) per month.

III. *Cutting Section workers.*

The existing system in wheat cutting section shall continue. Their Piece rates will be increased to Rs. 15 (Rupees fifteen) per 100 bags. Their fall back wages shall be Rs. 8 (Rupees eight) per day. The agreement dated 26-6-1981 between the management and the cutting section workers shall be superceded by this clause and that agreement shall be inoperative.

IV. *Casual workers*

The wages of casual workers shall be increased by Rs. 4 (Rupees four) per day.

V. *Dearness Allowance*

All workers shall be paid Dearness Allowance @ Rs. 1.35 per month per point over 234 points on the cost of living index (new series) for Calicut.

VI. *Annual increment*

The milling and packing section workers shall be paid annual increment of Rs. 10 (Rupees ten) per month.

VII. *Piece rates*

The piece rates applicable to workers in loading and unloading of wheat products shall be revised as follows:

1. Atta, Maida and Suji—30 P. per bag.
2. Bran—25 P. per bag.

VIII. *House rent Allowance*

House rent allowance shall be increased to Rs. 20 (Rupees twenty) per month.

IX. *Uniforms*

The workers shall be given 3 sets of uniforms during the term of this settlement. Of these 2 sets shall be given during the first year and one set during the second year.

X. *National and Festival Holidays*

The National and Festival Holidays shall be increased to 9 (nine) days per year.

XI. *Bonus for the years 1976-77, 1977-78 and 1980-81.*

Bonus shall be paid for the years 1976-77, 1977-78 and 1980-81 at 8⅓%, 12½% and 12½% of the salary or wages (Basic salary and Dearness allowance) respectively. For the year 1980-81, the employees eligible for bonus shall also be paid as ex-gratia of 7½% of the salary or wages.

XII. *Recovery of Advance and Lumpsum Payment*

The management agrees to waive the recovery of the advance of Rs. 250 paid to the permanent workers and Rs. 125 to casual workers in 1979. The management also agrees to pay a lumpsum of Rs. 500 each (Rupees five hundred) to all workers covered by the agreement of 1977 which had expired. The cutting section workers will be paid Rs. 100 each as lumpsum.

XIII. *Individual Issues*

(1) Smt. Sathyabhama, w/o. late M. Appunni shall be given priority for employment if and when the company employee women workers.

(2) Sri Aboobacker shall be absorbed in the factory as a regular worker in the packing section on the terms and conditions applicable to the other workers of that section.

(3) The arrears of bonus to Sri Abdul Khuddoose shall be paid immediately if due.

XIV. *General*

(1) The Unions agree that they do not press any of the pending demands other than those covered by this agreement.

(2) Except as modified by this agreement the existing agreements and conditions of service shall continue in force.

XV. *Period of operation of settlement*

This settlement shall be in force for a period two years from today.

XVI. *Amenities*

The management agrees to improve the amenities in the factory like toilets, rest rooms etc.

XVII. *Disbursement of arrears*

The arrears of wages, bonus etc., as per this agreement shall be paid in 2 instalments. The first instalment on 3-1-1982 and the second instalment on 10-1-1982.

XVIII. *Strike wages*

The workers shall not be entitled to any wages for the period of work stoppage.

XIX. *Filing of agreement before the Industrial Tribunal*

The parties agree that a signed copy of this settlement shall be filed before the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut with a prayer to dispose of I. D. 10/1979 in terms here of accepting this settlemet as fair and reasonable between the parties.

XX. *Resumption of work*

The work in the factory shall be resumed tomorrow, the 25th December, 1981.

Calicut, dated this the 24th December, 1981.

Signature of parties.

1. S. S. Khemka (Sd.)
2. S. K. Arya (Sd.)
3. K. M. Kuttikrishnan (Sd.)
4. P. Moosa (Sd.)

Name and signature of witnesses

(1) (2)

M. K. PRABHAKARAN,
District Labour Officer,
(Conciliation Officer)
Kozhikode.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 727/82/LBR *Dated, Trivandrum, 12th July 1982.*

The award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut in respect of the dispute between the Proprietor, Paduva Estate, Ayanat Parayil, P. O. Thycattusserry, 688 528, Alleppey and their workmen represented by (1) The Secretary, Ernad Plantation Labour Union, CITU, Nilambur and (2) The Secretary, Ernad Taluk Estate Labour Congress (INTUC), Nilambur received by Government on 3-7-1982 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act, XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. SIVADASAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

Before the Court of the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut

(Monday, the 28th day of June, Nineteen hundred and eighty two.)

Present:

SHRI K. P. DEVADAS, B. A., B. L.,

Industrial Tribunal, Calicut

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 1/82

Between

The Proprietor, Paduva Estate, Ayanat Parayil, P. O. Thyattusserry, 688 528, Alleppey.

And

1. The Secretary, Ernad Plantation Labour Union, CITU, Nilambur; and
2. The Secretary, Ernad Taluk Estate Labour Congress (INTUC), Nilambur

AWARD

This is an industrial dispute referred to this Tribunal for adjudication by Government of Kerala by Order No. G. O. Rt.7/82/LBR dated 2-1-1982. The issues referred are the following.

1. Quantum of bonus for the years 1978-79 and 1979-80.
2. Employment to dependants of permanent workers in this estate.

Registered notices were issued to the parties and while the management was represented both the unions remained absent. Subsequently the 2nd union sought time for filing statement.

After some adjournments the counsel for Union No. 2 reported no instructions. They have also not filed any statement. Union No. 1 remained absent all along. In the circumstances of the case I find that the unions are not interested in the dispute. The reference is answered accordingly.

K. P. Devadas,
Industrial Tribunal Calicut.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October, 1982.

PART I

കേരള സർക്കാർ

റവന്യു (എഫ്) വകുപ്പ്

വിജ്ഞാപനം

ജി. ഒ. (എം. എസ്) നമ്പർ 964/82/ആർ.ഡി.

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, 1982 ഒക്ടോബർ 7.

പൊതുജനങ്ങളുടെ അറിവിലേയ്ക്കായ് താഴെ കൊടുത്തിരിക്കുന്ന വിജ്ഞാപനം പരസ്യപ്പെടുത്തിക്കൊള്ളുന്നു

1982-ാമാണ്ട് ജൂൺ മാസം 22-ാം തീയതിയിലെ 25-ാം നമ്പർ കേരള ഗസററിൽ പരസ്യപ്പെടുത്തിയ ജി ഒ (എം. എസ്.) നമ്പർ 462/82/ആർ.ഡി, തീയതി 17-4-1982 എന്ന സർക്കാർ വിജ്ഞാപനപ്രകാരം മലപ്പുറം ജില്ലയിൽ ഏറനാട് താലൂക്കിൽ പുതുതായി രൂപീകരിക്കപ്പെട്ട കേരള എസ്റേററ് വില്ലേജിന്റെ ആസ്ഥാനം പഴയ കടയ്ക്കൽ എന്നതിന് പകരം 'കേരള എസ്റേററ്', എന്നാക്കി പുനർനിർണ്ണയം ചെയ്തിരിക്കുന്നു.

ഗവർണ്ണറുടെ ഉത്തരവിൻപ്രകാരം,
കെ. ലക്ഷ്മി,
ജോയിന്റ് സെക്രട്ടറി.

G. 1392

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Law (Legislation-Publication) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 13295/Leg. Pbn. 2/82/Law. *Dated, Trivandrum, 14th September* 1982.

The following Act of Parliament, published in a Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II—Section 1, dated the 11th May, 1982, is hereby republished for general information. The Bill as passed by the Houses of Parliament received the assent of the President on the 11th May, 1982.

By order of the Governor,
K. VISWANATHAN NAIR,
Special Secretary to Government (Law).

THE CUSTOMS TARIFF (AMENDMENT) ACT, 1982

An

Act

further to amend the Customs Tariff Act, 1975

BE it enacted by Parliament in the Thirty-third Year of the Republic of India as follows:—

1. *Short title. Amendment of the First Schedule.*—This Act may be called the Customs Tariff (Amendment) Act, 1982.

2. In the First Schedule to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 (51 of 1975) (hereinafter referred to as the principal Act), in Chapter 73,—

Heading No.	Sub-heading No. and description of article	Rate of duty		Duration when rates of duty are protective
		Standard	Preferential Areas	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

(a) in Heading No. 73.15, for sub-headings (1) and (2), the following sub-headings shall be substituted, namely :—

	"(1) Not elsewhere specified	300%	..	..
	(2) Coils for re-rolling, bars (including bright bars), rods, wire rods, wire, circles, angles, shapes and sections, strips, sheets and plates, of stainless steel	300%	..	...";

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

(b) for Heading Nos. 73.16, 73.17/19, 73.20, 73.21 and 73.22/23, the following Headings shall be substituted, namely:—

"73.16	Railway and tramway track construction material of iron or steel, the following: rails, check-rails, switch blades, crossings (or frogs), crossing pieces, point rods, rack rails, sleepers, fish plates, chairs, chair wedges, sole plates (base plates), rail clips, bedplates, ties and other material specialised for joining or fixing rails:			
	(1) Not elsewhere specified	40%	..	—
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	..
73.17/19	Tubes and pipes and blanks therefor of iron or steel :			
	(1) Other than of stainless steel :			
	(i) Not elsewhere specified	60%	..	..
	(ii) Drilling tubes and pipes and blanks therefor	40%	..	..
	(iii) Tubes and pipes for boilers falling within heading No 84.01/02 and blanks therefor	40%	..	..
	(iv) Tubes and pipes sheathed or lined with corrosion-resisting material, such as glass, rubber and plastic	40%	..	..

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	..
73.20	Tube and pipe fittings (for examples joints, elbows, unions and flanges), of iron or steel :.			
	(1) Not elsewhere specified	60%	..	..
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	..
73.21	Structures and parts of structures (for example, hangers and other buildings, bridges and bridge-sections, lock-gates, towers, lattice masts, roofs, roofing frameworks, door and window frames, shutters, balustrades, pillars and columns), of iron or steel; plates, strips, rods, angles, shapes, sections, tubes and the like, prepared for use in structures, of iron or steel :			
	(1) Not elsewhere specified	40%	.	...
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	..
73.22/23	Reservoirs, tanks, vats, casks, drums, cans, boxes and similar containers for any material (other than compressed or liquified gas), of iron or steel:			
	(1) Not elsewhere specified	60%	--	..
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	...";

(e) for Heading Nos; 73.25, 73.26, 73.27/28 and 73.29, the following Headings shall be substituted, namely:—

"73.25	Stranded wire, cables, cordage, ropes, plaited bands, slings and the like, of iron or steel wire, but			

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	excluding insulated electric cables :			
	(1) Not elsewhere specified	60%	..	..
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	..
73.26	Barbed iron or steel wire ; twisted hood or single flat wire, barbed or not, and loosely twisted double wire, of kinds used for fencing, of iron or steel :			
	(1) Not elsewhere specified	60%	..	..
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	..
73.27/28	Gauze, cloth, grill, netting, f e n c i n g, reinforcing fabric and similar materials, of iron or steel wire ; expanded metal of iron or steel :			
	(1) Not elsewhere specified	60%	..	..
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	..
73.29	Chain and parts thereof, of iron or steel :			
	(1) Other than of stainless steel :			
	(i) Not elsewhere specified	60%	..	..
	(ii) Mooring chains	40%	..	..
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	..”;

(*d*) for Heading No. 73.33/40, the following Heading shall be substituted, namely:—

“73.33/40	Other articles of iron or steel :			
	(1) Not elsewhere specified	100%	..	..
	(2) Of stainless steel	300%	..	..”.

3. *Amendment with respect to certain stainless steel articles to have retrospective effect.*—The amendment made by clause (a) of section 2 in Heading No. 73.15 of the First Schedule to the principal Act, in so far as it relates to the standard rate of duty on circles, angles, shapes and sections of stainless steel shall be deemed to have, and to have always had, effect on and from the 1st day of January, 1981, but during the period commencing from that day and ending with the date of introduction of the Customs Tariff (Amendment) Bill, 1982 in the House of the People—

(a) such circles, angles, shapes and sections shall be deemed to have been exempt from so much of the duty of customs leviable thereon under the said First Schedule as amended by the said amendment as is in excess of the rate of 220 per cent. *ad valorem*;

(b) the rate of auxiliary duty of customs chargeable on such circles, angles, shapes and sections shall be, and shall be deemed to have been, the same as that chargeable on sheets falling under sub-heading No. (2) of the said Heading No. 73.15.

കേരള സർക്കാർ

കൃഷി (അഗ്രി) വകുപ്പ്

വിജ്ഞാപനം

നമ്പർ 41762/എജി1/82/കൃഷി. തിരുവനന്തപുരം, 1982 ആഗസ്റ്റ് 23.

ഇൻഡ്യാ ഗവൺമെൻറിൻെറ കൃഷി മന്ത്രികാര്യാലയത്തിൽ നിന്ന് 1982 മേയ് 23-ാം തീയതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച വിജ്ഞാപനവും ജി. എസ്. ആർ. 420 (ഇ)—1982 ഏപ്രിൽ 27-ാം തീയതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച വിജ്ഞാപനവും ജി. എസ്. ആർ. 358 (ഇ)—പൊതുജനങ്ങളുടെ അറിവിനായി സംസ്ഥാന ഗസററിൽ പുനഃപ്രസിദ്ധീകരിക്കുന്നു.

ഗവർണ്ണരുടെ ഉത്തരവനുസരിച്ച്,
എം. അഹമ്മദ് ഹസ്സൻ,
ഗവൺമെൻറ് ഡെപ്യൂട്ടി സെക്രട്ടറി.

നമ്പർ 13-1/82-എസ്. ററി. യു.

ഇൻഡ്യാ ഗവൺമെൻറ്

കൃഷി മന്ത്രി കാര്യാലയം

(കൃഷിയും സഹകരണവും വകുപ്പ്)

ന്യൂഡൽഹി, 1982 മേയ് 23.

വിജ്ഞാപനം

ജി. എസ്. ആർ. 420 (ഇ)..1957-ലെ വളം (നിയന്ത്രണ) ഉത്തരവ് 3-ാം ഖണ്ഡം അനുസരിച്ച് ഇൻഡ്യാ ഗവൺമെൻറിൻെറ കൃഷി മന്ത്രികാര്യാലയത്തിൻെറ (കൃഷിയും സഹകരണവും വകുപ്പ്) 1982 ഏപ്രിൽ 27-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ജി. എസ്. ആർ. 358 (ഇ) എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിൽ താഴെ പറയുന്ന ഭേദഗതികൾ ഇതിനാൽ വരുത്തുന്നു, അതായത്:

പ്രസ്തുത വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിലെ പട്ടികയിൽ "വളത്തിൻെറ പേര്" എന്ന തലക്കെട്ടിനു കീഴിൽ "18 എസ്. ഒ. പി. (50 ശതമാനം കെ 20)" എന്ന ഇന

ത്തിനും അതു സംബന്ധമായ കുറിപ്പുകൾക്കും ശേഷം താഴെ പറയുന്ന ഇനവും കുറിപ്പും ചേർക്കേണ്ടതാണ്, അതായത്:—

വളത്തിന്റെ പേര്	ടൺ ഒന്നിന് പരമാവധി വില (അസ്സൽ) (രൂപയിൽ)	
	ബാഗുകളിൽ വിൽക്കുമ്പോൾ	ഉല്പാദകൻ മൊത്തമായ് ഫാക്ടറി പടിക്കൽ വച്ച് വിൽക്കുമ്പോൾ
(1)	(2)	(3)
19. സൂപ്പർഫോസ്ഫേററ് സിംഗിൾ—16% പി 205	940	..

ആർ. സി. ഗുപ്ത,
ഇൻഡ്യാ ഗവൺമെൻറ്
ജോയിൻറ് സെക്രട്ടറി.

നമ്പർ 10-21/80-എസ്. ററി. യു.

ഇൻഡ്യാ ഗവൺമെൻറ്

കൃഷി മന്ത്രികാര്യാലയം

(കൃഷിയും സഹകരണവും വകുപ്പ്)

ന്യൂഡൽഹി, 1982 ഏപ്രിൽ 27.

വിജ്ഞാപനം

ജി. എസ്. ആർ. 358 (ഇ)—;1957-ലെ വളം (നിയന്ത്രണ) ഉത്തരവ് 3-ാം ഖണ്ഡം അനുസരിച്ചും ഇൻഡ്യാ ഗവൺമെൻറിന്റെ കൃഷി മന്ത്രികാര്യാലയത്തിലെ (കൃഷിയും സഹകരണവും വകുപ്പ്) 1981 ജൂലൈ 11-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ജി. എ സ്. ആർ. 436 (ഇ) എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനം അതിലംഘിച്ചുകൊണ്ടും, ഇതോടനുബന്ധിച്ച് ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിലെ (2) ഉം (3) ഉം കാളങ്ങളിൽ പറഞ്ഞിരിക്കുന്ന വില, പ്രസ്തുത പട്ടികയിലെ (1)-ാം കാളത്തിലെ അതിനെതിരെയുള്ള കുറിപ്പിൽ പറഞ്ഞിരിക്കുന്ന വളം ഉല്പാദകർ, അല്ലാത്ത ഒരു വ്യാപാരി ബാഗുകളിലോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ ഫാക്ടറി പടിക്കൽവച്ച് ഒരു ഉല്പാദകൻ മൊത്തമായോ യഥാക്രമം കാപ്പി, തേയില അഥവാ റബ്ബർ പ്ലാൻേറഷനുകൾക്കോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ കൃഷിക്കാർക്കോ വിൽക്കുമ്പോഴുള്ള പരമാവധി വിലയായി കേന്ദ്ര സർക്കാർ ഉടമ്പടി പ്രാബല്യത്തിൽ വരത്തക്കവിധം ഇതിനാൽ നിശ്ചയിച്ചിരിക്കുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

വളത്തിന്റെ പേര്	ടൺ ഒന്നിന് പരമാവധി വില (അസ്സൽ) (രൂപയിൽ)	
	ബാഗുകളിൽ ഉല്പാദകൻ നേരത്തായി വിൽക്കുമ്പോഴം	ഫാക്ടറി പടിക്കൽ വച്ചു വിൽക്കുമ്പോഴം
(1)	(2)	(3)
1 യൂറിയ (46% എൻ)	2350	2200
2 ഡി. എ. പി. (18-46-0)	3600	3450
3 എൻ. പി. കെ. (17-17-17)	2600	2450
4 പൊട്ടാഷിന്റെ കൂടെ നൈട്രോ ഫോസ്ഫേററ് (15-15-15)	2100	1950
5 എൻ. പി. കെ. (19-19-19)	2950	2800
6 അമോണിയം ഫോസ്ഫേററ് സൾഫേററ് (20-20-20)	2600	2450
7 നൈട്രോ ഫോസ്ഫേററ് (20–20–0)	2400	2250
8 അമോണിയം ഫോസ്ഫേററ് സൾഫേററ്(16-20-0)	2300	2150
9 യൂറിയ അമോണിയം ഫോസ്ഫേററ് (24-24-0)	3050	2900
10 യൂറിയ അമോണിയം ഫോസ്ഫേററ് (28-28-0)	3600	3450
11 എൻ. പി. കെ (14-28-14)	3050	2900
12 എൻ. പി. കെ. (10-26-26)	2950	2800
13 എൻ. പി. കെ. (14-35-14)	3400	3250
14 എൻ. പി. കെ. (12-32-16)	3250	3100
15 സൂപ്പർ ഫോസ്ഫേററ് ട്രിപ്പിൾ (ഗ്രാനുലർ)	2600	2450
16 സൂപ്പർ ഫോസ്ഫേററ് ട്രിപ്പിൾ (പൗഡർ)	2400	2250
17 എം. ഒ. പി. (60% K_2O)	1300	1150
18 എസ്. ഒ. പി (50% K_2O)	2100	1950

വിശദീകരണം:—കേന്ദ്ര വില്പന നികുതിയോ, സംസ്ഥാന വില്പന നികുതിയോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ മററു തദ്ദേശ നികുതിയോ അതു ചില്ലറ വില്പന തലത്തിലോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ മദ്ധ്യഘട്ടത്തിലോ എവിടെയെല്ലാം ചുമത്തിയാലും മുകളിൽ പറഞ്ഞ പരമാവധി വില അതു ഉൾപ്പെട്ട തല്ലാത്തതാകുന്നു.

കുറിപ്പ്:—ഒരു സമയത്ത് 5 കിലോഗ്രാമിൽ കവിയാതെയുള്ള അളവിൽ ഏതെങ്കിലും വളം വിൽക്കുകയാണെങ്കിൽ, മുകളിൽ പറഞ്ഞ അനുപാതികമായുള്ള പരമാവധി വിലയ്ക്ക് പുറമേ താഴെ പറയുന്ന വില വ്യാപാരി ചുമത്തുന്നതാണ്.

(എ) 1—2 കിലോഗ്രാം പാക്കിംഗിന് ഓരോ പാക്കിംഗിനും 12 പൈസ വീതവും;

(ബി) 2 കിലോഗ്രാമിൽ കവിഞ്ഞുള്ളതും 5 കിലോഗ്രാം വരെയുള്ളതുമായ പാക്കിംഗിന് ഓരോ പാക്കിംഗിനും 15 പൈസ വീതവും.

ജി. എസ്. വിദ്യാർത്ഥി,
ഇൻഡ്യാ ഗവൺമെൻറ്
ജോയിൻറ് സെക്രട്ടറി.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport-C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 12547/TC2/82/TF & P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 23rd September* 1982.

S. R. O. No. 1237/82.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Carriage Operator Shri K. A. Balakrishnan, Kanjampurath House, Perumpallur P.O. Moovattupuzha, Ernakulam that the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th June, 1981, 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the Stage Carriage bearing Registration Number KRE. 1008 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that extension of time for payment of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle may, therefore, be granted ;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarter ended on the 30th June, 1981, 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981, 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982 due to financial strain ;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriage due to non-payment of tax would have caused great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary to extend in public interest the time for payment of the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th June, 1981, 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981, 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the said stage carriage ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that, the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th June, 1981, 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981, 31st March 1982 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before the 30th June 1982 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S. R. O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,
T. SANKARAN,
Additional Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification).

Government have received representation from the Stage Carriage Operator as shown in the notification requesting extension of time, for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended 30th June, 1981, 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981, 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982 due to financial strain;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant extension of time for payment of tax as otherwise these vehicles might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I Section iv

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport-G) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 12249/TC2/82/TF&P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 24th September* 1982.

S.R.O. No. 1238/82.—Whereas representations has been received by Government from the Stage Carriage Operators specified in the annexure to this notification, that the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the Stage Carriages particulars of which are specified in the said annexure could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that extension of time for payment of vehicle tax in respect of these vehicles may, therefore, be granted;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operators of the said stage carriages could not remit the vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriages ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarters ended on the 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982, due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriages due to non-payment of tax would have caused great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary to extend in public interest the time for payment of the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st March, 1982, and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the said stage carriages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that the vehicle tax for the quarters ended on the 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982, in respect of the said stage carriages ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before the 30th June, 1982 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S.R.O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated 29th September, 1975.

ANNEXURE

Sl. No.	Name of Stage Carriage Operators	Registration No. of the Stage Carriages
(1)	(2)	(3)
1.	Shri Sunny Paulose, Vettikkaparambil, Edayar P. O., Koothattukulam, Ernakulam.	KRF. 8330
2.	Shri I. G. Ittoop, Irumpanam House, Mala.	KLH. 6722
3.	Shri M. R. Thilakan, Mannanthindi House, Elthuruthu, Trichur.	KLR. 9464
4.	Shri K. C. David, Kodiveedu, Chirattapalam, Cochin-1, Ernakulam.	KRE. 3399
5.	Smt. Annie Olivero, Sunny Motors, Near Railway Gate, Pachalam, Cochin, Ernakulam.	KEE. 4150
6.	Shri Issak Varkey, Puthuval House, Onakur. Ernakulam.	KEE. 2433
7	Shri Francis D' Almeda. L. P. Cottage, Palliport, Ernakulam.	KLF. 645
8.	Shri M. A. Noorudhan, Manapurath House, Nayarambalam, Ernakulam.	KRF. 6959
9.	Shri A. J. Norbert, Assariparambil House, Karippalam, Cochin-2, Ernakulam.	KLH. 3048 KLE. 4917 KLE 2940
10.	Shri T. A. Xavier, Thattasseri House, Palluruthy, Ernakulam.	KRF. 1129 KRE. 6190

(1)	(2)	(3)
11.	Shri Jacob Mathew, Baby Villa, Thoppumpady, Cochin-5, Ernakulam.	KLF. 523 KLF. 8510 KRE. 8027
12.	Smt. C. Bhanumathy Amma, West Pulapayil House, Mamangalam, Cochin-25, Ernakulam.	KEE. 4150
13.	Shri P. K. Abdul Rahiman, Pattayamcherry House, Edappally, Ernakulam.	KLF. 9622 KLF. 5785 KLO. 4471
14.	Shri E. X. Paily, Etturuthil House, Pachalam, Cochin.	KRF. 9430
15.	Shri Gopinatha Menon, Sree Vihar, Kumbalam, Ernakulam.	KLF. 5929
16.	Shri P. P. Remani, Painady Parambil House, Cochin.	KRE. 6676

By order of the Governor,
T. SANKARAN,
Additional Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification.)

Government have received certain representations from the Stage Carriage Operators as shown in the annexure requesting extension of time for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982 due to financial strain;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant extension of time for payment of tax as otherwise these vehicles might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport-C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 9957/TC2/82/TF&P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 15th July, 1982.*

S R O. No. 1239/82.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Carriage Operator Shri N. Balakrishna Pillai, C/o V. M. Thomas, 16/481, T&R Road, Cochin-5 that the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1981 31st December, 1981 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the Stage Carriage bearing Registration Number KRE 8036 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that extension of time for payment of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle may, therefore, be granted;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1981, 31st December 1981 and 30th June, 1982 due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriage due to non-payment of tax would have caused great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary to extend in public interest the time for payment of the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the said stage carriage;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that the vehicle tax for the quarters ended on the 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before the 30th May, 1982 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S. R. O No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,
P. Sankaran Nair,
Additional Secretary to Government.

G. 1115

[P.T.O.]

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification.)

Government have received representation from the Stage Carriage Operator as shown in the notification requesting extension of time for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981 and 30th June, 1982 due to financial strain;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant extension of time for payment of tax as otherwise these vehicles might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport G) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 12339/TC2/82/TF & P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 23rd September* 1982.

S. R. O. No. 1240/82.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Carriage Operator Shri M. K. Sadanandan Muchan House, Near Railway Station, Ernakulam that the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st March 1981 in respect of the stage carriage bearing Registration Number KRE. 2194 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that extension of time for payment of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle may, therefore, be granted;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarter ended on the 31st March 1981 due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non operation of the said stage carriage due to non-payment of tax would have caused great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary to extend in public interest the time for payment of the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st March 1981 in respect of the said stage carriage;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st March, 1981 in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before the 30th June, 1982 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the Notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S. R. O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,

T. Sankaran,

Additional Secretary to Government.

G. 1344

[P. T. O.]

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification.)

Government have received representation from the Stage Carriage Operator as shown in the notification requesting extension of time for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended 31st March, 1981 due to financial strain;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant extension of time for payment of tax as otherwise these vehicles might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

KERALA BUILDINGS (LEASE AND RENT CONTROL) ACT, 1965—ENFORCEMENT IN KADUTHURUTHY PANCHAYAT—ORDERS ISSUED

PUBLIC WORKS (E) DEPARTMENT

G. O. (MS)138/82/PW. *Dated, Trivandrum, 24th September* 1982.

NOTIFICATIONS

(i)

S.R.O. No. 1241/82.—Whereas the Kaduthuruthy Panchayat has in its resolution No. 26 dated the 21st May, 1981, requested that the provisions of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), shall be applied to that Panchayat area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (3) of section 1 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby apply all the provisions of the said Act to the Kaduthuruthy Panchayat area in the Kottayam District with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(ii)

S. R. O. No. 1242/82.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 3 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Munsiff, having jurisdiction over the Kaduthuruthy Panchayat area in the Kottayam District, to be the Rent Control Court for the said area, with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(iii)

S.R O. No. 1243/82.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Tahsildar, having jurisdiction over the Kaduthuruthy Panchayat area in the Kottayam District, to be the Accommodation Controller for the said area, with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(iv)

S.R.O.No. 1244/82.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of subsection (1) of section 18 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby confer on the Subordinate Judge or the Principal Subordinate Judge as the case may be, having jurisdiction over the Kaduthuruthy Panchayat area in the Kot ayam District, the powers of the Appellate Authority for the purposes of the said Act in the said area with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

By order of the Governor,

G. GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI,

Special Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the above Notifications, but is intended to indicate their general purport.)

The Kaduthuruthy Panchayat in the Kottayam District has in its resolution No. 26 dated the 21st May 1981, requested Government to extend the provisions of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965) to its area. Under section 1(3) of the said Act, Government can extend the provisions of the Act to any area of the State by a notification in the Gazette, provided that such notification shall be supported by a resolution passed by the local authority of the area affected by the notification. The above notifications are to achieve the above purpose and issued on the request of the Panchayat concerned.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (F) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 810/82/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30th July* 1982.

S. R. O. No. 1245/82.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 87 of the Employers' State Insurance Act, 1948 (Central Act 34 of 1948), read with section 91-A thereof, and on the recommendation of the Government of India, Government of Kerala, in consultation with the Employees' State Insurance Corporation hereby exempt from the operation of the provisions of the said Act for a further period of one year from the 1st day of January, 1981, upto and inclusive of the 31st day of December, 1981 every factory or establishment:—

(a) which is engaged—

(i) exclusively in one or more of the manufacturing processes specified in column (1) of the Schedule annexed hereto or any other manufacturing processes which is incidental to or connected with any of the aforesaid processes; and

(ii) partly in one or more of the manufacturing processes specified and partly in one or more manufacturing processes specified in the definition of seasonal factory in clause (12) of section 2 of the said Act;

(b) Which is situated in any area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Schedule subject to the conditions, if any specified in the corresponding entry in column (3) of the said Schedule, namely:—

SCHEDULE

Name of the manufacturing process	*Area where situated*	*Conditions*
(1)	(2)	(3)
1. Redrying manufactured leaf tobacco	Kerala State	Nil
2. Rice Milling	do.	
3. Salt Manufacture	do.	

(1)	(2)	(3)
4. Oil Mill	Kerala State	Provided that the process of oil milling is subsidiary to any other manufacturing process which is seasonal and so long as the number of employees engaged in oil milling is less than fifty.
5. Wool Pressing either with or without cotton pressing and ginning	do.	Nil

By order of the Governor,

V. KRISHNAMURTHY,

Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Government of India are considering a proposal for specifying the seasonal processes under section 2 (12) of the Employees' State Insurance Act. Based on the request of Government of India this Government exempted the factories and establishment under the categories mentioned from the coverage of Employees' State Insurance Act for a period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1980. Government of India in their letter No. S-13801 4/80-H1 dated 10 9-1981 have requested the State Government to grant exemption under section 87 of the Employees' State Insurance Act to the factories engaged in the processes mentioned above for a further period of one year from 1st January 1981 to 31st December, 1981. The Regional Director, E.S.I. Corporation, Trichur in his letter No. 54-P. 13 13/76-Co. I dated 12-10-1981 also recommended to issue notification. Government accepted the recommendation and decided to grant exemption. This notification is intended to achieve the above object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

KERALA BUILDINGS (LEASE AND RENT CONTROL) ACT, 1965—ENFORCEMENT IN EDATHIRUTHY PANCHAYAT—ORDERS ISSUED

PUBLIC WORKS (E) DEPARTMENT

G. O. (MS) 130/82/PW. *Dated, Trivandrum, 17th September* 1982

NOTIFICATIONS

(i)

S. R. O. No. 1247/82. —Whereas the Edathiruthy Panchayat has in its resolution No. 352 dated the 25th June, 1981, requested that the provisions of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), shall be applied to that Panchayat area ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (3) of section 1 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby apply all the provisions of the said Act to the Edathiruthy Panchayat area in the Trichur District with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(ii)

S. R. O. No. 1248/82.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Munsiff, having jurisdiction over the Edathiruthy Panchayat area in the Trichur District, to be the Rent Control Court for the said area, with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(iii)

S. R. O. No. 1249/82.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2) of section 3 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Tahsildar, having jurisdiction over the Edathiruthy Panchayat area in the Trichur District, to be the Accommodation Controller for the said area, with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette,

G. 1328.

(iv)

S.R.O.No.1250/82.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 18 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby confer on the Subordinate Judge or the Principal Subordinate Judge, as the case may be, having jurisdiction over the Edathiruthy Panchayat area in the Trichur District, the powers of the Appellate Authority for the purpose of the said Act in the said area with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

By order of the Governor,

G. Gopalakrishna Pillai,

Special Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the above notifications, but is intended to indicate their general purport).

The Edathiruthy Panchayat in the Trichur District has in its resolution No. 352 dated 25th June 1981 requested Government to extend the provisions of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965) to its area. Under section 1 (3) of the said Act, Government can extend the provisions of the Act to any area of the State by a notification in the Gazette, provided that such notification shall be supported by a resolution passed by the local authority of the area affected by the notification. The above notifications are to achieve the above purpose and issued on the request of the Panchayat concerned.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport G) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 12544/TC2/82/TF&P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 24th September* 1982.

S. R. O. No. 1251/82.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Carriage Operator Shri N. Balakrishna Pillai, c/o, V. M. Thomas, 16/481, T & R Road, Cochin-5 that the vehicle tax for the quarters ended on the 30th September, 1981 31st December, 1981 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the stage carriage bearing registration number KRE. 8036 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that extension of time for payment of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle may, therefore, be granted ;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarters ended on the 3oth September, 1981, 31st December, 1981 and 30th June, 1982 due to financial strain ;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriages due to non-payment of tax would have caused great inconvenience to the travelling public ;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary to extend in public interest the time for payment of the vehicle tax for the quarters ended on the 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the said stage carriage ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that the vehicle tax for the quarters ended on the 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981 and 30th June, 1982 in respect of the said stage carriages ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before the 30th June, 1982 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (5) No. 33942/T C2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S. R. O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,
T. SANKARAN
Additional Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification.)

Government have received representation from the Stage Carriage Operator as shown in the notification requesting extension of time for payment of vehicle tax for the quarters ended 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981 and 30th June, 1982 due to financial strain.

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant extension of time for payment of tax as otherwise these vehicles might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 9949/TC2/82/TF&P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 23rd September,* 1982.

S. R. O. No. 1252/82.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Carriage Operator Shri K. A. Balakrishnan, Kanjappadittuthu, Perumbavoor P.O., (via) Moovattupuzha that the arrears of vehicle tax amounting to Rs. 21,150 in respect of the Stage Carriage bearing Registration Number KRE. 1008 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that permission may be granted to remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of the said vehicle in monthly instalments;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriage due to non-payment of tax would cause great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary in public interest to permit the stage carriage operator to remit the arrears of vehicle tax mentioned above in respect of the said stage carriage in monthly instalments;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that 1/4th of the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before 9th May, 1982 and the balance in sixteen equal monthly instalments, beginning from 1st June, 1982 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S. R. O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,

T. Sankaran,

Additional Secretary to Government

G. 1843

[P.T.O.]

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate he main purpose of the issue of the notification).

Government have received representation from the Stage Carriage Operator as shown in the notification requesting instalment facility for payment of arrears of vehicle tax in respect of Stage Carriage bearing Registration Number KRE. 1008 due to financial strain;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant time for payment of tax in monthly instalments as otherwise the vehicle might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport Fisheries & Ports (Transport-E) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Rt. No. 768/82/TF & P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 14th September* 1982

S. R. O. No. 1253/82.—Whereas, Smt N. Sarojini, Rajendra Bhavan, Chathampara, Thottakad, Attingal, Trivandrum District has built a bus body on a New Ashok Leyland Chassis, the details of which are hereunder given for the purpose of plying it as a stage carriage replacing her Vehicle bearing Registration No. KRT 7537.

AND whereas the overall length and overhang of the said vehicle exceed the limits prescribed under sub-rule (2) of rule 267 and rule 294 respectively of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961;

AND whereas the Government of Kerala are satisfied that the said vehicle can conveniently be used as a stage carriage on all fit roads other than ghat roads with such excess measurements in overall length and overhang;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by rule 368 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961, the Government of Kerala hereby exempt the said vehicle from the provision of sub-rule (2) of rule 267 and rule 294 of the said Rules.

DETAILS OF THE VEHICLE

Model—Ashok Leyland
Engine No.—ALEE 2207
Chassis No.—ALEE 147195
Overall width—248 centimetres
Overall height—330 centimetres
Overall length—970 centimetres
Overallhang—322 centimetres
Wheel care—533.4 centimetres

By order of the Governor,
T. SANKARAN,
Additional Secretary to Government.

[P. T. O.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its main purport).

Smt. N. Sarojini, Rajendra Bhavan, Chathampara, Thottakad, Attingal, Trivandrum District has requested Government to exempt the vehicle mentioned in the above notification from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 267 and rule 294 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961, since the overall length and overhang of the vehicle exceed the limits prescribed under these rules. Government have considered the request in consultation with the Transport Commissioner and decided to grant the exemption sought for.. Hence this notification.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 12208/TC2/82/TF&P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 23rd September* 1982.

S. R. O. No. 1254/82.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Carriage Operator specified in the annexure to this notification, that the vehicle tax for the quarters ended on the 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981, 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982, in respect of the Stage Carriages particulars of which are specified in the said annexure could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that extension of time for payment of vehicle tax in respect of these vehicles may, therefore, be granted;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operators of the said stage carriages could not remit the vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriages ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarters ended on the 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981, 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982 due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriages due to non-payment of tax would have caused great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary to extend in public interest the time for payment of the vehicle tax for the quarters ended on the 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981, 31st March, 1982 and 30th June 1982 in respect of the said stage carriages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers confrrred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, th Government of Kerala hereby order that the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981 31st March, 1982 and 30th June 1982 in respect of the said stage carriages ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before the 30th June, 1982 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (S) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1982 published as S. R. O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated 29th September, 1975

ANNEXURE

Sl. No.	Name of Stage Carriage Operator	Registration No. of the Stage Carriage
1.	Shri K. K. Antony, K. K. Sons, Trichur	KLH. 53
2.	Shri M. S. Venugopalan, Manamel House, Konathukunnu.	KRE. 5383
3.	Shri K. Sudhakaran, Kizhakkoot House, Panangad.	KLF. 1688
4.	Shri V. P. Varghese, Vettilakaparambil, Edayar P. O., Koothattukulam, Ernakulam.	KRE. 1879
5.	Shri M. V. George, Malaikudi House, Mankuttipadam, Pady.	KLH. 4588

By order of the Governor,

T. SANKARAN,

Additional Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification.)

Government have received certain representations from the Stage Carriage Operators as shown in the annexure requesting extension of time for payment of vehicle tax for the quarters ended on 30th September, 1981, 31st December, 1981, 31st March, 1982 and 30th June, 1982 due to financial strain.

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant extension of time for payment of tax as otherwise these vehicles might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Revenue (B) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 38510/B1/82/RD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 25th August* 1982.

S.R.O. No. 1255/82.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from acquisition of the land mentioned in the schedule hereto annexed in respect of which Notification No. A4-856/77 dated the 30th March, 1977 under subsection (1) of section 3 of the said Act has been published at page 1405 in Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated the 3rd May, 1977 and Declaration No. K. Dis. 52916/77/LR (C) 4 dated the 17th November, 1977 under section 6 of the said Act has been published at pages 151—152 in Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated the 17th January, 1978.

SCHEDULE

District—Cannanore. *Taluk*—Taliparamba.

Amsom—Irikkur. *Desom*—Pattuvam.

Sy. No.—11/10
Description—Garden
Extent—0.0133 hectare

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Executive Engineer, P. W. D. (B & R) Cannanore has applied for the acquisition of 0.0133 hectare of land in R. S. No. 11/1A of Irikkur Amsom, Pattuvam for the construction of a culvert at 30/800 of Taliparamba-Iritty road under the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (Act 21 of 1962).

The notification under section 3 (1) of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act in this case was published at page 1405 of Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated 3-5-1977 and the declaration under section 6 of the K. L. A. Act was also published at pages 151 and 152 of Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated 17-1-1978. But the requisitioning Department has completed the construction work in the existing available site. The requisitioning Department has also requested to cancel the acquisition proposal as the land is not required for the above purpose. The notification is intended to achieve the above object. No compensation is payable under section 52 (2) of the K.L.A. Act.

G. 1306

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 1255/82.— 1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററ് (1962-ലെ 21), 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം കേരള സർക്കാർ ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ചു ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും 1977 മേയ് 3-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കേരള ഗസററിൻെറ മൂന്നാം ഭാഗത്ത് 1405-ാം പേജിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ററ് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള 1977 മാർച്ച് 30-ാം തീയതിയിലെ എ4-856/77 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനവും 1978 ജനുവരി 17-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കേരള ഗസററിൻെറ 3-ാം ഭാഗം 151-ം, 152-ം പേജുകളിൽ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ററ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള 1977 നവംബർ 11-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കെ. ഡിസ്. 52916/77/എൻ. ആർ. (സി) 4 എന്ന നമ്പർ പ്രഖ്യാപനവും പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട് സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കണ്ണൂർ. താലൂക്ക്—തളിപ്പറമ്പ്.

അംശം—ഇരിക്കൂർ. ദേശം—പാട്ടുവം.

സർവ്വെ നമ്പർ—11/10
വിവരണം—തോട്ടം
വിസ്തീർണ്ണം—0.0133 (ഹെക്ടർ)

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിൻെറ ഭാഗമല്ല. എന്നാൽ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശ് സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനുദ്ദേശിച്ചുള്ളതാണ്.)

1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററ് (1962-ലെ 21-ാം ആക്ററ്) പ്രകാരം തളിപ്പറമ്പ്-ഇരിട്ടി റോഡിൻെറ 30/800-ൽ കലുങ്ക് പണിയുന്നതിനു വേണ്ടി ഇരിക്കൂർ അംശം പട്ടുവം ദേശത്തിൽപെട്ട ആർ. എസ്. നമ്പർ 11/1 എ. യിലുള്ള 0.0133 ഹെക്ടർ ഭൂമി വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുവാൻ കണ്ണൂർ പൊതുമരാമത്ത് വകുപ്പ് (ബി. ആൻറ് ആർ.) എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് എൻജിനീയർ അപേക്ഷിച്ചിരിക്കുന്നു.

ഈ സംഗതിയിൽ കേരളസ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററ് 3 (1) വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള വിജ്ഞാപനം 3-5-1977-ലെ കേരളഗസററിൻെറ III-ാം ഭാഗം 1405-ാം പേജിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിക്കുകയും കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററിൻെറ 6-ാം വകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള പ്രഖ്യാപനം 17-1-1978-ലെ കേരള ഗസററിൻെറ 3-ാം ഭാഗം 151-ം, 152-ം പേജുകളിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിക്കുകയും ചെയ്തിരുന്നു. എന്നാൽ സ്ഥലം ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ട വകുപ്പ് കൈവശമുള്ള ലഭ്യമായ സ്ഥലത്തു നിർമ്മാണജോലി പൂർത്തിയാക്കി, മുകളിൽ പറഞ്ഞ ആവശ്യത്തിനുവേണ്ടി സ്ഥലം ആവശ്യമില്ലാത്തതുകൊണ്ട് സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ റദ്ദാക്കാൻ ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ട വകുപ്പ് അഭ്യർത്ഥിച്ചിരിക്കുന്നു. മേൽ സൂചിപ്പിച്ച ഉദ്ദേശം സാധിക്കുന്നതിനു വേണ്ടിയുള്ളതാണ് ഈ വിജ്ഞാപനം. കേരള ലാൻറ് അക്വിസിഷൻ ആക്ററിൻെറ സെക്ഷൻ 52 (2) പ്രകാരം നഷ്ടപരിഹാരമൊന്നും നൽകേണ്ടതില്ല.

By order of the Governor

K. NARAYANAN,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (C) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Rt. No. 2543/82/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 13th August* 1982.

S. R. O. No. 1256/82.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 62 of the Kerala Panchayats Act, 1960 (32 of 1960), the Government of Kerala, after consulting the Pilicode Panchayat, hereby exclude from the operation of the said Act, the land specified in the Schedule below and registered in the Revenue Records as foot-path.

SCHEDULE

District—Cannanore

Taluk—Hosdrug Village—Pilicode

Panchayat—Pilicode

R.S.No.—239/2

Extent—0.4047 Hectare

Boundaries:—.

North—RS. No. 239/2 (pt) of Pilicode Village.

East—RS. No. 239/2 (pt) of Pilicode Village.

South—RS. No. 239/2 (pt) of Pilicode Village.

West—RS. No. 239/2 (pt) of Pilicode Village.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The land in RS. No. 239/2 of Pilicode Village, Hosdrug Taluk measuring an extent of 2 68 acres stands registered as poramboke foot-path in the Revenue Records. The Pilicode Panchayat is having power to regulate the use of the land under section 62 of the Kerala Panchayats Act, 1960. A portion of this land measuring 0.4047 hectare has been proposed for assignment to the Managing Director, District Mini Industrial Estate Co-operative Society, Cannanore for the establishment of a Mini Industrial Estate at Pilicode. Therefore, it has become necessary to exclude an extent of 0.4047 hectare in the above survey number from the operation of the Kerala Panchayats Act. The notification is intended to achieve this object.

എസ്‌ ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 1256/82.—1960-ലെ കേരള പഞ്ചായത്ത്‌ ആക്‌ററിലെ (1960-ലെ 32) 62-ാം വകുപ്പ്‌ (2)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം നൽകപ്പെട്ട അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ച്‌ പിലിക്കോട്‌ പഞ്ചായത്തുമായി കൂടിയാലോചിച്ചതിനു ശേഷം താഴെ പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും റവന്യൂ രേഖകളിൽ നടപ്പാത എന്ന്‌ രജിസ്‌ററർ ചെയ്‌തതുമായ ഭൂമി പ്രസ്‌തുത ആക്‌ററിന്റെ പരിധിയിൽ നിന്നും കേരള സർക്കാർ ഇതിനാൽ ഒഴിവാക്കുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കണ്ണൂർ

താലൂക്ക്‌ – ഹോസ്‌ദുർഗ്‌ഗ്‌ വില്ലേജ്‌—പിലിക്കോട്‌

പഞ്ചായത്ത്‌—പിലിക്കോട്‌

ആർ. എസ്‌. നമ്പർ—239/2

വിസ്‌തീർണ്ണം—0.4047 ഹെക്‌ടർ

അതിരുകൾ: വടക്ക്‌—പിലിക്കോട്‌ പഞ്ചായത്തിന്റെ ആർ. എസ. നമ്പർ 239/2 (ഭാഗം)

കിഴക്ക്‌—പിലിക്കോട്‌ പഞ്ചായത്തിന്റെ ആർ. എസ്‌. നമ്പർ 239/2 (ഭാഗം)

തെക്ക്‌—പിലിക്കോട്‌ പഞ്ചായത്തിന്റെ ആർ. എസ്‌. നമ്പർ 239/2 (ഭാഗം)

വടക്ക്‌—പിലിക്കോട്‌ പഞ്ചായത്തിന്റെ ആർ. എസ്‌. നമ്പർ 239/2 (ഭാഗം)

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്‌

ഹോസ്‌ദുർഗ്‌ഗ്‌ താലൂക്ക്‌ പിലിക്കോട്‌ വില്ലേജിലെ 239/2 എന്ന റിസർവെയിലുള്ള 2.68 ഏക്കർ വിസ്‌തീർണ്ണം ഭൂമി റവന്യൂ രേഖകളിൽ പുറംപോക്ക്‌ നടപ്പാത ആയി രജിസ്‌ററർ ചെയ്‌തിട്ടുണ്ട്‌. 1960-ലെ കേരള പഞ്ചായത്ത്‌ ആക്‌ടിലെ 62-ാം വകുപ്പിൻ കീഴിൽ പിലിക്കോട്‌ പഞ്ചായത്തിൽ ഭൂമിയുടെ ഉപയോഗം ക്രമപ്പെടുത്തുവാൻ അധികാരം ഉണ്ട്‌. പിലിക്കോടിൽ ഒരു മിനി ഇൻഡസ്‌ട്രിയൽ എസ്‌റേററ്‌ സ്‌ഥാപിക്കുവാൻ വേണ്ടി കണ്ണൂർ ഡിസ്‌ട്രിക്റ്റ് മിനി ഇൻഡസ്‌ട്രിയൽ എസ്‌റേററ്‌ സഹകരണസംഘം മാനേജിംഗ്‌ ഡയറക്‌ടർക്ക്‌ 0.4047 ഹെക്‌ടർ വിസ്‌തീർണ്ണം വരുന്ന ഈ ഭൂമിയുടെ ഒരു ഭാഗം പതിച്ചുകൊടുക്കുവാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടായിരുന്നു. ആയതിനാൽ മുകളിൽ പറഞ്ഞ സർവ്വെ നമ്പരിലുള്ള 0.4047 ഹെക്‌ടർ വിസ്‌തീർണ്ണം വരുന്ന ഭൂമി കേരള പഞ്ചായത്ത്‌ ആക്‌ററിന്റെ പരിധിയിൽ നിന്നു ഒഴിവാക്കേണ്ടത്‌ ആവശ്യമായിവന്നു. ഈ ഉദ്ദേശം നിറവേററാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്‌ ഈ വിജ്ഞാപനം.

By order of the Governor,

MARC C. JOHN,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I **Section iv**

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport B) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O.Rt. No. 812/82/TF & P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 25th September,* 1982.

S.R.O.No. 1257/82.—Whereas as per G.O. (Rt.) No. 770/82/TF&P lated the 14th September, 1982, the Government of Kerala have exempted the vehicle, the details of which are hereunder given, from the provisions of rule 294 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961, as the overhang of the vehicle exceeded the limit prescribed under the said rules, for operation of the vehicle as a stage carriage in Ernakulam and Idukki Districts;

And whereas, Shri Mathew Varghese, Veeppanattu House, Kuruppampady, Ernakulam District, the owner of the said vechicle, has now requested Government to exempt the said vehicle from the provisions of rule, 294 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961, so as to enable him to operate the vehicle as a contract carriage on all fit roads throughout the State of Kerala;

And whereas, the Government of Kerala are satisfied that the said vehicle can conveniently be used as a contract carriage on all fit roads throughout the State with such excess measurement in overhang;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by rule 368 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961, and in modification of the order contained in G. O. (Rt.) No. 770/82/TF&P dated the 14th September, 1982, the Government of Kerala hereby exempt the said vehicle from the provisions of rule 294 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961, for operation of the vehicle as a contract carriage on all fit roads throughout the State of Kerala.

DETAILS OF THE VEHICLE

Model	..	Ashok Leyland
Engine No.	..	ALEE 2198
Chassis No.	..	ALEE 147193
Type of body	..	Saloon
Year of manufacture	..	1982
Overhang	...	60 % of the wheel base
Wheel base	...	533.4 centimetres (210")

By order of the Governor,
T. SANKARAN,
Additional Secretary to Government

G. 1363 [P. T. O.]

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification but is intended to indicate this main purport.)

As per G. O. Rt. No. 770/82/TF&P dated 14-9-1982 the Government of Kerala have exempted the vehicle mentioned in the above notification from the provisions of rule 294 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1961, as the overhang of the vehicle exceeded the prescribed limit so as to operate the vehicle as a stage carriage in Ernakulam and Idukki Districts alone. Now Shri Mathew Varghese, Veeppanattu House, Kuruppampady, Ernakulam District the owner of the vehicle has requested Government to exempt the said vehicle from the provisions of rule 294 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules 1961, so as to enable him to operate the vehicle as a contract carriage on all fit roads throughout the State of Kerala. Government have considered the request and decided to grant the exemption sought for. Hence this notification.

Kerala Gazette No. 42 dated 26th October 1982.
PART I Section iv

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Industries (G) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 2030/G2/82/ID. *Dated, Trivandrum, 20th July* 1982.

S.R.O. No. 1258/82.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of the lands mentioned in the schedule hereto annexed in respect of which land acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Revenue Divisional Officer (Land Acquisition) Fort Cochin, by the issue of notification No. E1/86/79 dated the Sixth June 1979 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof published at page 1807 in Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated the 19th June, 1979.

SCHEDULE

District—Ernakulam

Taluk—Kanayannoor *Village*—Thrikkakara North:

Sl. No.	*Survey No.*	*Description*	*Extent in hectare*
1.	1183/2A	Dry	0.2995
2.	1184/8	Dry	0 0040
		Total	0.3035

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the general purport.)

The requisitioning authority has requested to drop the acquisition proceedings since they have decided to construct their office building at premises of the factory at Edayar. Hence the withdrawal notification.

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 1258/82.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ട് (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം, ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ച് ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ററ് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം 6-6-1979-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ഇ1-86/79 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനം 1979 ജൂൺ 19-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കേരള ഗസററ് III-ാം ഭാഗം 1807-ാം പേജിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചുകൊണ്ട് ഫോർട്ടുകൊച്ചി (സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ്) റവന്യൂ ഡിവിഷണൽ ആഫീസർ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

G. 1127

പടിക

ജില്ല—എറണാകുളം

താലൂക്ക്—കണയന്നൂർ

വില്ലേജ്—തൃക്കാക്കര വടക്ക്

ക്രമ നമ്പർ	സർവ്വെ നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം (ഹെക്ടറിൽ)
1.	1183/2എ	പുരയിടം	0.2995
2.	1184/8	,,	0.0040
		ആകെ	0.3035

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല, എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിന് ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്).

ആഫീസ് കെട്ടിടം ഇടയാറിലുള്ള ഫാക്ടറിയുടെ പരിസരത്ത് നിർമ്മിക്കുന്നതിന് തീരുമാനിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതിനാൽ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ നിർത്തിവയ്ക്കണമെന്ന് ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട അധികാരസ്ഥൻ അപേക്ഷിച്ചിരിക്കുന്നു. അതുകൊണ്ടാണ് ഈ പിൻവാങ്ങൽ വിജ്ഞാപനം.

By order of the Governor,
K. Sivasankaran Nair,
Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
Home(E) Department
WITHDRAWAL NOTIFICATION

G.O. Rt. No. 1911/82/Home. *Dated, Trivandrum,* 31*st July* 1982.

S. R. O. No. 1259/82.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of lands mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed in respect of which land acquisition proceedings were initiated by the issue of Notification No. A.4505/80 dated the 23rd January, 1981 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof published in the Janayugam daily on 4th February, 1981 and in the Malayala Manorama daily on 5th February, 1981.

SCHEDULE

District—Kozhikode. *Village*—Chelannur.
Taluk—Kozhikode. *Desom*—Maruthad.

Sl. No.	*Sy. No.*	*Description*	*Extent. in Hect.*
1.	R.S. 12/1 B4 part	Garden	0.4371
2.	R.S. 12/1 B5 part	Garden	0.5058
		Total	0.9429

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the general purport). The acquisition proceedings in respect of the lands mentioned in the notification have to be withdrawn since the Police Department has find out alternative site.

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 1259/82.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം കേരള സർക്കാർ ഇതോടുചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും പ്രസ്തുത ആക്റ്റ് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പിൻ കീഴിൽ 1981 ഫെബ്രുവരി 4-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ജനയുഗം ദിനപത്രത്തിലും 1981 ഫെബ്രുവരി 5-ാം തീയതിയിലെ മലയാള മനോരമ ദിനപത്രത്തിലും പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ 1981 ജനുവരി 23-ാം തീയതിയിലെ എ 4505/80 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ ഭൂമി വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

വില്ലേജ്—ചേളന്നൂർ

ജില്ല—കോഴിക്കോട്.

ദേശം—മരുത്താട്.

താലൂക്ക്—കോഴിക്കോട്.

ക്രമ നമ്പർ	സർവ്വെ നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം (ഹെക്ടർ)
1.	ആർ എസ്. 12/1ബി14 ഭാഗം	തോട്ടം	0.4371
2.	ആർ. എസ്. 12/1ബി15 ഭാഗം	തോട്ടം	0.5058
			0.9429

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല. എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതുഉദ്ദേശം വെളിപ്പെടുത്തുവാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്.) പോലീസ് വകുപ്പ് വേറെ സ്ഥലം കണ്ടുപിടിച്ചിരിക്കുന്നതുകൊണ്ട് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിൽ സൂചിപ്പിച്ചിരിക്കുന്ന സ്ഥലത്തെ സംബന്ധിച്ച സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ പിൻവലിക്കേണ്ടിയിരിക്കുന്നു.

By order of the Governor,
P. V. RADHALAKSHMI,
Additional Secretary to Government.